

SUPPLEMENT NO. 2
DI FB Issues 6/00

Legislative Update on Proposals Pertaining to Division I Football

Attached please find copies of various legislative proposals pertaining to Division I football. In addition, the following status reports of these proposals have been provided for your review:

- **Proposal No. 99-73** – The Management Council referred this proposal to rescind the 12th-game legislation back to the Division I Football Issues for comment. The committee declined to support the proposal and forwarded its reaction the Division I Championships/Competition Cabinet for review during its 27-29 meeting.
- **Proposal No. 74-1** – This proposal, which permits strength and conditioning coaches who perform department-wide duties to design and conduct voluntary workout programs for student-athletes within the academic year and during the summer, was adopted effective immediately.
- **Proposal No. 99-104** – The revised Division I football recruiting calendar was adopted with an effective date of August 1, 2000.
- **Proposal No. 99-112** – Division I-AA defeated this proposal to permit football student-athletes to participate in individual skill-related instruction outside the institution's declared playing season during the academic year according to some restrictions. However, Division I-A tabled it. In order to be considered, a member of the Division I Management Council must proposed that it be reconsidered.
- **Proposal No. 2000-07**. This proposal would permit a one-time transfer from a Division I-AA institution that offers athletically related aid to a Division I-AA institution that does not offer athletically related financial aid. The comment period for the proposal will end June 26 and the Division I Management Council during its October 25-26 meeting will consider it.
- **Proposal No. 2000-15** -- This proposal would allow football student-athletes to view game film during the eight hours per week that a student-athlete may participate in required weight-training and conditioning activities that may occur during the academic year outside of the playing season. The comment period of the proposal will end June 26 and the Division I Management Council during its October 25-26 meeting will consider it.
- **Proposal No. 2000-36** – This proposal would make Division I-A football membership requirements more stringent. It originally was proposed as emergency legislation; however,

the Division I Board of Directors determined that it was not emergency in nature and that it should be considered through the normal legislative process. The comment period for the proposal will end June 26 and the Division I Management Council during its October 25-26 meeting will consider it.

#####

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
May 31, 2000 DLP: eed

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028824

99-073

Page 1 of 2

National Collegiate Athletic Association

IN PROGRESS

99-73 CONTESTS LIMITATIONS - DIVISION I-A FOOTBALL

New language is indicated by underlining in bold and blue.
Deleted language is indicated by ~~red italics with a strike-through~~

Intent: In Division I-A football, to reinstate the previous limit of eleven contests beginning with the 2004 season.

Bylaws: Amend 17.10.5.1, page 262, as follows:

[Division I-A only]

"17.10.5.1 Maximum Limitations-Institutional. A member institution shall limit its total regular-season playing schedule with outside competition in the sport of football during the permissible football playing season in any one year to the following number of contests (games and scrimmages), except as provided for member institutions located in Alaska and Hawaii under 17.29.2, and except as provided for all members under 17.10.5.2:

- "(a) Division I-A - 11, except that 12 football contests shall be permissible in the years 2002 and 2003 ~~during those years in which there are 14 Saturdays from the first permissible playing date through the last playing date in November (2002, 2003, 2008, 2013, 2014 and 2019).~~
- "(b) Divisions I-AA ~~and II-~~ 11, except that 12 football contests shall be permissible during those years in which there are 14 Saturdays from the first permissible playing date through the last playing date in November (2002, 2003, 2008, 2013, 2014, 2019)."

Source: Pacific-10 Conference.

Effective Date: August 1, 2004.

Rationale: The rule recently approved that permits a Division I-A institution to play 12 games in specified years was approved without all of the relevant issues being fully considered. In light of the significant time demands already placed upon football student-athletes, both academically and athletically, the addition of an extra contest is not in the best interests of the student-athletes. Since game contracts have been entered into by institutions for football games to be played in the years 2002 and 2003, this proposal seeks to reestablish a limit of 11 contests beginning with the 2004 season.



National Collegiate Athletic Association

ADOPTED

99-74

PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS - VOLUNTARY WORKOUTS

New language is indicated by **underlining in bold and blue**.
Deleted language is indicated by ~~red italics with a strike-through~~

Intent: To permit strength and conditioning coaches who perform department-wide duties to design and conduct voluntary workout programs for student-athletes, both within the academic year and during the summer, without such workouts being considered as a countable athletically-related activity.

Bylaws: Amend 17.02.1.1, pages 227-228 as follows:

"17.02.1.1 Countable Athletically Related Activities. The following are considered countable athletically related activities and must be counted in the weekly or daily time limitations specified under 17.1.6.1 for Divisions I and II:

[17.02.1.1-(a) through 17.02.1.1-(h) unchanged.]

- "(i) Individual workouts required or supervised by a member of the coaching staff, except for those sports subject to the safety exception. A **countable** coach may design a voluntary general individual workout program for a student-athlete (as opposed to a specific workout program for specific days) but cannot conduct the individual's workout. In Division I, it shall be permissible for strength and conditioning coaches, during the academic year as well as during vacation periods (e.g. summer), to design and conduct specific workout programs for student-athletes, provided such workouts are voluntary and conducted at the request of the student-athlete. Such workouts shall not be considered a countable athletically related activity when conducted by strength and conditioning coaches who are not countable coaches and who perform such duties on a department-wide basis.

[17.02.1.1-(j) through 17.02.1.1-(l) unchanged.]

- "(m) Involvement of an institution's strength and conditioning staff with enrolled student-athletes in required conditioning programs. ~~Strength and conditioning personnel may monitor, supervise or assist voluntary individual workouts for safety purposes. If the strength and conditioning coach also is a countable coach, such assistance may be provided only if that strength and conditioning staff member performs such duties on a department-wide basis.~~ In Division I, during the academic year as well as during vacation periods (e.g. summer), strength and conditioning coaches who are not countable coaches and who perform such duties on a department-wide basis may design and conduct specific workout programs for student-athletes, provided such workouts are

99-074

Page 2 of 2

voluntary and conducted at the request of the student-athlete. In a Division III institution, assistance in conditioning programs for student-athletes shall be to the same extent that such assistance is provided to students generally."

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors [Management Council (Big East Conference and Pacific-10 Conference)].

Effective Date: Immediately.*

Rationale: This proposal will bring the current legislation in line with what already may be occurring on Division I campuses. It also will ensure that student-athletes are performing their conditioning programs in a safe and controlled environment, particularly in the summer.

The NCAA Division I Championships/Competition Cabinet's Rationale for Not Supporting Proposal No. 99-74: The cabinet, at the request of Playing and Practice Seasons Subcommittee, declined to support the proposal inasmuch as permitting strength and conditioning coaches to conduct voluntary workouts during the summer is not in the best interest of student-athlete welfare. Further, the subcommittee raised concerns over the possible increased insurance and facility usage costs. Finally, the subcommittee felt the proposal would mandate that student-athletes remain on campus during the summer months.



© 1999 National Collegiate Athletic Association

National Collegiate Athletic Association

ADOPTED

99-104

RECRUITING CALENDAR – DIVISIONS I-A AND I-AA FOOTBALL

New language is indicated by **underlining in bold and blue**.
Deleted language is indicated by ~~*red italics with a strike-through*~~

Intent: To revise the current Division I football recruiting calendar as follows: (a) to expand the spring evaluation period from April 15 through May 31 to permit four weeks of evaluation (Monday through Saturday) selected at the discretion of the institution; (b) to permit two evaluations per prospect during the spring evaluation period (one evaluation to assess the prospect's athletics ability and one evaluation to assess the prospect's academic qualifications); (c) to reduce the number of fall evaluations days from nine to six; and (d) to modify the winter contact period to provide for a total of 42 contact days (six contact weeks Sunday through Saturday) and reduce from seven to six the number of contacts per prospect during the period.

A. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.7, page 97, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A and I-AA, divided vote.]

"13.1.7 Permissible Number of Contacts. In sports other than Divisions I-A and I-AA football, each institution shall be limited to not more than three in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospect at any site (which shall include contacts made with the prospect's relatives or legal guardian(s) but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per 13.7). In Divisions I-A and I-AA football, each institution shall be limited to ~~seven~~ **six** in-person, off-campus recruiting contacts per prospect at any site (which shall include contacts made with the prospect's relatives or legal guardian(s), but shall not include contacts made during an official visit per 13.7). In Division I basketball, each institution shall be limited to five recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospect and not more than three of the five opportunities may be contacts. In Division I sports other than football and basketball, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospect and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts."

B. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.9, pages 98-99, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A and I-AA, divided vote.]

"13.1.9 Limitations on Number of Evaluations—All Sports. In Divisions I-A and I-AA football, institutional staff members shall be limited to ~~two~~ **three** evaluations during the academic year during which the prospect competes or practices on any team. Not more than one evaluation may be used during the fall evaluation period and not more than ~~one~~ **two** evaluations may be used during the **April 15 through May 31** evaluation period per Bylaw 30.11.5. In Division I basketball, each institution shall be limited to five recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospect and not more than three of the five opportunities may be contacts. In Division I sports other than football and basketball, each institution shall be limited to seven recruiting opportunities (contacts and evaluations combined) per prospect and not more than three of the seven opportunities may be contacts. In all Division II sports, institutional staff members shall not evaluate a prospect on more than four occasions during the academic year during which the prospect competes or practices on any team (e.g., high school team, all-star team, club team)."

C. Bylaws: Amend 13.1.9.5.1, page 99, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A and I-AA, divided vote.]

"13.1.9.5.1 Time Period for Counting Football Evaluations—Divisions I-A and I-AA. In the sport of football in Divisions I-A and I-AA, the time period during which the ~~two~~ **three** permissible evaluations may take place shall be from ~~May 1~~ **April 15** through April ~~30~~ **14** of the following academic year."

D. Bylaws: Amend 30.11.5, pages 405-406, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A and I-AA, divided vote.]

"30.11.5 Football, Division I. The following contact and evaluation periods shall apply to football in Division I:

"(a) June 1 through November 30 [except Quiet Period for (1) below]:

"(1) ~~Nine~~ **Six** days during the months of September, October and ~~through~~ **the last Saturday in** November selected at the discretion of the institution (an authorized off-campus recruiter may visit a particular educational institution only once during this evaluation period):

"(b) ~~December 1~~ **The Sunday following the last Saturday in November** through the Saturday prior to the initial date for signing the National Letter of Intent except for (1), ~~(2) and (3)~~ **through (6)** below - ~~Seven~~ **Six** in-person off-campus contacts per prospective student-athlete shall be permitted during this time period with not more than one permitted in any one calendar week (Sunday through Saturday) or partial calendar week:

"(1) The Sunday after the third Saturday in December Quiet Period

"(1.2) ~~December 24~~ The Monday following the third Saturday in December through January 1 ~~for January 2 if January 1 falls on a Sunday~~; Dead Period

"(3) Weekdays during the first week of January when the first Monday in January is not a contact period; Dead Period

"(4) Friday, Saturday and Sunday when the first Friday in January is preceded by a dead day; Quiet Period

"(25) ~~The day before the second registration day for~~ Sunday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association and ~~the day~~ Friday and Saturday after the completion of the convention; Quiet Period

"(3.6) ~~12:01 a.m. on the second day of of registration~~ Monday through Thursday during the week of the annual convention of the American Football Coaches Association ~~to 11:59 p.m. on the day of completion of of the convention~~; Dead Period

[30.11.5-(c) and 30.11.5-(d) unchanged.]

"(e) Friday following the initial date for the signing of the applicable National Letter of Intent through April 30 14; Quiet Period

"(f) ~~Twenty days~~ Four weeks (excluding Memorial Day and Sundays) during ~~May 1~~ April 15 through May 31 selected at the discretion of the member institution and designated in writing in the office of the director of athletics [as provided in (1)below]; Evaluation Period

"(1) An authorized off-campus recruiter may visit a particular high school only one time use one evaluation to assess the prospect's athletics ability and one evaluation to assess the prospect's academic qualifications during this evaluation period.

99-104

Page 4 of 4

"(g) Those days ~~from~~ in April/May not designated in Quiet
(f) above for evaluation opportunities. Period

Source: NCAA Division I Board of Directors [Management Council
(Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet) (Subcommittee on Recruiting)].

Effective Date: August 1, 2000.

Rationale: The proposed Division I football recruiting calendar, which was developed by head and assistant football coaches, provides greater recruiting flexibility and is more cost effective. It provides for an overall reduction of four evaluations and contact days, and a reduction from seven to six in the number of contacts per prospective student-athlete. The reduction in the evaluation and contact days should result in significant savings to an institution in transportation, and time spent away from the campus. The proposal also permits institutions to select any four weeks during the spring evaluation period, which provides an opportunity to develop a plan that best fits the institutions needs. This flexibility will permit coaches to spend time with players on campus prior to the end of the school year. Further, inasmuch as some high schools are now starting spring practices as early as the middle of April, some institutions may prefer to start their own spring practice later due to weather conditions. Finally, the expansion of the dead period during the holiday season will permit for an uninterrupted holiday season for both prospects and coaches.



© 1999 National Collegiate Athletic Association

National Collegiate Athletic Association

IN PROGRESS – DIVISION I-A

DEFEATED – DIVISION I-AA

**99-112
WEEKLY-HOUR LIMITATIONS – SKILL-
INSTRUCTION – DIVISION I-A AND I-AA
FOOTBALL**

New language is indicated by underlining in bold and blue.
Deleted language is indicated by ~~red italics with a strike-through~~

Intent: In Divisions I-A and I-AA football, to permit football student-athletes to participate in individual skill-related instruction outside the institution's declared playing season during the academic year, provided (a) the student-athlete requests such instruction; (b) such instruction does not involve the use of any pads or helmets; and (c) no more than four student-athletes are involved in skill-related instruction with their coaches at any one time in any facility.

[Note: The proposed changes involves a federated proposal and, thus, must be approved by the Division I-A and Division I-AA subdivisions acting independently.]

A. Bylaws: Amend 17.02.1.1, pages 227-228, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A and Division I-AA, divided vote]

"17.02.1.1 Countable Athletically Related Activities. The following are considered countable athletically related activities and must be counted in the weekly or daily time limitations specified under 17.1.6.1 for Divisions I and II:

[17.02.1.1-(a) through 17.02.1.1-(d) unchanged.]

"(e) In ~~Divisions I and II~~ sports other than **Division II** football, participation outside the institution's declared playing season in individual skill-related instructional activities with a member of the coaching staff and that occur at the request of the student-athlete (see 17.1.6.2.1):"

[Remainder of 17.02.1.1 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2.1, page 231, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A and Division I-AA, divided vote]

99-112

Page 2 of 2

"17.1.6.2.1 Skill Instruction - Divisions I and II. Participation by Divisions I and II student-athletes in individual skill-related instruction [including the activities set forth in 17.02.1.1-(f)] in sports other than **Division II** football is permitted outside the institution's declared playing season, provided no more than four student-athletes from the same team are involved in skill-related instruction with their coach(es) at any one time in any facility and the student-athletes request the instruction. **In Division I-A and I-AA football, the use of any pads or helmets shall be prohibited.**"

Source: NCAA Division I Championships/Competition Cabinet (Division I Football Issues Committee).

Effective Date: August 1, 2000.

Rationale: Currently, the sport of football is the only sport excluded from the skill-instruction opportunities outside the playing season during the academic year. The Football Issues Committee believes that football student-athletes also would benefit from this type of instruction outside the playing season during the academic year. The prohibition against the use of any pads or helmets and the limitations on the number of student-athletes who may engage in such activities at any one time in any one facility will ensure that no "full fledged" practice sessions occur.



© 1999 National Collegiate Athletic Association

2000-007

Page 1 of 2

National Collegiate Athletic Association

IN PROGRESS

2000-07 ELIGIBILITY – ONE-TIME TRANSFER EXCEPTION

Intent: To permit a student-athlete to use the one-time transfer exception when the student-athlete transfers from a Division I-AA institution that offers athletically related financial aid in the sport of football to a Division I-AA institution that does not offer athletically related financial aid in the sport of football.

Bylaws: Amend 14.5.5.3.11, page 166, as follows:

[Division I-AA football]

"14.5.5.3.11 One-Time Transfer Exception. The student transfers to the certifying institution from another four-year collegiate institution and all of the following conditions are met (for graduate students, see also 14.1.7.1):

- "(a) The student is a participant in a sport other than Division I basketball, Division I-A football or Division I men's ice hockey at the institution to which the student is transferring. A participant in Division I-AA football at the institution to which the student is transferring may utilize this exception only if the participant transferred to the certifying institution from an institution that sponsors Division I-A football; or the participant transfers from a Division I-AA institution that offers athletically related financial aid in the sport of football to a Division I-AA institution that does not offer athletically related financial aid in the sport of football."

[Remainder of 14.5.5.3.11 unchanged.]

Source: NCAA Division I Management Council [Academics/Eligibility/Compliance Cabinet (Metro Atlantic Athletic Association)].

Effective Date: August 1, 2001.

Rationale: Under current legislation, a student-athlete who participates in football is permitted to transfer from an NCAA Division I-A institution to a Division I-AA institution and compete immediately (provided all other conditions of the one-time transfer rule are satisfied). The sponsors believe that institutions that do not offer athletically related financial aid will not gain a recruiting advantage if football players are permitted to transfer from a Division I-AA institution that offers athletically related financial aid in the sport of football to a Division I-AA institution that does not offer athletically financial aid in the sport of football. This proposal also would grant Division I-AA athletic aid granting institutions the same flexibility with squad sizes that Division I-A institutions consistently enjoy.

2000-01

Page 1 of 1

National Collegiate Athletic Association

IN PROGRESS

2000-15 PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS – WEEKLY HOUR LIMITATIONS – DIVISION I-A FOOTBALL

Intent: In Division I-A football, to permit student-athletes to view a maximum of two hours of game film per week as part of the permissible eight hours of required weight-training and conditioning activities that may occur during the academic year outside of the playing season.

Bylaws: Amend 17.1.6.2, page 231, as follows:

[Division I-A football]

"17.1.6.2 Weekly Hour Limitations—Outside of Playing Season. Outside of the playing season during the academic year, only a student-athlete's participation in the countable athletically related activities specified in 17.02.1.1-(c), 17.02.1.1-(d), and 17.02.1.1-(e) and in Division I-A football, participation in the countable athletically related activities specified in 17.02.1.1-(a)-(6) shall be permitted. A student-athlete's participation in such activities shall be limited to a maximum of eight hours per week, of which not more than two hours per week may be spent on individual skill workouts set forth in 17.02.1.1-(e) and 17.1.6.2.1, and in Division I-A football, the viewing of game film per 17.02.1.1-(a)-(6). A student-athlete may not participate in any countable athletically related activities outside the playing season during any institutional vacation period (e.g., summer, academic year)."

Source: NCAA Division I Management Council [Championships/Competition Cabinet (Big East Conference)].

Effective Date: Immediately.*

Rationale: Currently, the sport of football is the only sport excluded from the skill-instruction opportunities outside the playing season during the academic year. The opportunity to view a maximum two hours of game film with the coaching staff as part of the eight hours of permissible weekly conditioning is a good alternative to actual skill-related instruction. [Estimated Budget Impact: None.]



© 1999 National Collegiate Athletic Association

National Collegiate Athletic Association

IN PROGRESS

2000-36

MEMBERSHIP – DIVISION I-A FOOTBALL – ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENTS

Intent: To specify that a Division I institution shall satisfy football attendance requirements only if it averages more than 17,000 in actual paid attendance per home contest in the immediate four-year period and to eliminate all exceptions/waivers to those requirements; further, to require actual use of a ticket sold at a regularly established ticket price in order to count the ticket in computing attendance figures; further, to require the institution's annual audit to verify attendance to be conducted by an outside firm and verified by the national office staff; and, finally, if an institution fails to meet the requirements, it shall be placed in a restricted membership category for a maximum of three years.

Bylaws: Amend 20.9.6.3, pages 352-355, as follows:

[Division I-A only]

"20.9.6.3 Football-Attendance Requirements. The institution ~~shall meet one of the following attendance requirements:~~

"(a) ~~The institution shall have averaged more than 17,000 in actual paid attendance per home football game in the immediate past four-year period, or~~

"(b) ~~The stadium utilized regularly for the institution's home games during the football seasons being evaluated shall contain a minimum of 30,000 permanent seats. Further, the institution shall have averaged more than 17,000 in paid attendance per home football game for games played in that stadium (or in a stadium approved by the members of the Management Council representing Division I-A conferences) at least one year during the immediate past four-year period.~~

~~"20.9.6.3.1 Waiver Provision for 30,000 Permanent Seat Requirement. When circumstances warrant, the members of the Management Council representing Division I-A conferences, by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting, may approve a waiver of the 30,000 permanent seat requirement for an institution that annually utilizes (for fewer than half of its home games in any given year) a stadium containing fewer than 30,000 permanent seats.~~

[20.9.6.3.2 renumbered as 20.9.6.3.1, unchanged.]

~~"20.9.6.3.3 Institutional Exception to Home Attendance Requirement. An institution that fails to meet the home attendance requirement in 20.9.6.3.(a) or (b) may retain Division I-A football classification if it has averaged more than 20,000 in paid attendance for all of its games (at home and away) for the applicable period. Such an institution shall play at least four home games in any year in which it utilizes this provision.~~

2000-036

Page 2 of 3

~~"20.9.6.3.1 Waiver Provision for Permanent Seats/Paid Attendance Requirement. The members of the Management Council representing Division I-A conferences, by a two-thirds majority of its members present and voting, may grant waivers of 20.9.6.3.(b) in cases where circumstances exist that are beyond the control of the institution.~~

"20.9.6.3.52 Counting Attendance. For purposes of computing attendance figures, tickets ~~must be~~ sold at regularly established ticket prices ~~shall and must be counted, whether or not they are used for admission. Tickets sold at discount prices may be counted as paid attendance only if they are used for admission.~~ Student attendance may be counted as paid attendance if the student actually is in attendance and any one of the following conditions applies:

[20.9.6.3.5-(a) through 20.9.6.3.5-(c) renumbered as 20.9.6.3.2-(a) through 20.9.6.3.2-(c), unchanged.]

[20.9.6.3.5.1 through 20.9.6.3.5.9 renumbered as 20.9.6.3.2.1 through 20.9.6.3.2.9, unchanged.]

"20.9.6.3.52.10 Certified Audit. In meeting the football-attendance requirements of Division I-A, an institution must undertake an annual certified audit verifying its football attendance. The audit must be conducted by an outside auditing firm. The audited football paid-attendance figures must be received in the NCAA national office not later than the February 15 following the completion of the football season and national office staff shall verify compliance with all I-A attendance requirements. The certified audit and materials (including the ticket manifest) must be available for inspection throughout each pertinent four-year period.

~~"20.9.6.3.6 Waiver of Criteria for Division I-A Membership. If an institution does not meet the Division I-A criteria or the exception provisions, the institution may request a waiver of the criteria from the membership of Division I-A, as follows:~~

"(a) ~~The institution shall submit its request for a waiver to the Association's president, with the request to be signed by the institution's chief executive officer and received in the national office (by mail or wired transmission) not later than September 15. Any request received after that date shall be postmarked not later than September 8. The request shall include pertinent information supporting the institution's request. It shall be the institution's responsibility to distribute that information to the chief executive officer, faculty athletics representative and athletics director at each Division I-A member institution, as well as to the executive officer of each member conference of that subdivision, by December 1;~~

"(b) ~~The institution's chief executive officer, faculty athletics representative or athletics director shall appear before the members of the Board of Directors representing Division I-A conferences at the next NCAA Convention under conditions prescribed by the Board;~~

"(c) ~~The membership of Division I-A, by a majority vote of the members present and voting, will accept or reject the request for a waiver of the criteria;~~

2000-036

Page 3 of 3

"(d) ~~If the members of the Board of Directors representing Division I-A conferences vote to admit the institution to Division I-A classification, such classification shall become effective September 1 following the Convention. The Board shall specify the period for which the waiver is granted; and~~

~~"(e) If the members of the Board of Directors representing Division I-A conferences vote to reject the institution's request, the institution's football program shall be placed in the division for which it qualifies or in the "restricted membership" category pursuant to the provisions of 20.9.6.3.1.~~

"20.9.6.3.10.1 Exception – Institutions Currently Classified in Division I-AA. For purposes of satisfying the football-attendance requirements, a Division I-AA institution that is currently completing the compliance period to attain Division I-A classification may apply the previous attendance requirements until the effective date of the new legislation. Subsequent to that date, the institution must satisfy the attendance requirements set forth in the new legislation for the remaining years of its compliance period.

"20.9 "20.9.6.3.10.2 Restricted Membership. If an institution fails to meet the Division I-A membership requirements it shall be placed in the restricted membership category for a maximum of three years. If, after three years, an institution fails to meet Division I-A membership requirements, it shall be reclassified into the appropriate subdivision."

Source: NCAA Division I Management Council.

Effective Date: Immediately.*

Rationale: The current football classification criteria for Division I-A date back to 1978, with some revisions to the standards made in 1981. The current criteria are outlined in NCAA Bylaw 20.9.6 and are recognized as being relatively easy to both achieve and maintain status as a member of the I-A football subdivision. Inasmuch as I-A classification is intended to represent the highest level of football competition within the NCAA, the membership requirements should establish an attendance standard consistent with the expectations for participation at the top of collegiate football. Requiring 17,000 in actual paid attendance, eliminating many exceptions to the attendance requirements and mandating oversight of attendance calculations will enhance the I-A subdivision while affording deserving institutions the opportunity to participate at the highest level of collegiate football.



© 1999 National Collegiate Athletic Association

SUPPLEMENT NO. 3
DI FB Issues 6/00

1999 DIVISION I-A POSTSEASON
FOOTBALL REPORT

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028839

FINANCIAL RESULTS OF 1999-00 NCAA CERTIFIED POSTSEASON FOOTBALL BOWL GAMES

Bowl Championship Series:

Tostitos Fiesta Bowl (See Note 1)

Bowl Championship Series	4,372,000	54.21%
Arizona Sports Foundation	3,692,437	45.79%
Total Gross	<u>8,064,437</u>	

NOKIA Sugar Bowl (See Note 1)

Bowl Championship Series	4,000,000	35.27%
NOKIA Sugar Bowl	7,341,046	64.73%
Total Gross	<u>11,341,046</u>	

Federal Express Orange Bowl (See Note 1)

Bowl Championship Series	4,000,000	61.48%
Orange Bowl Committee	2,506,471	38.52%
Total Gross	<u>6,506,471</u>	

Rose Bowl (See Note 1)

Bowl Championship Series	1,125,000	3.78%
Stanford (Pac-10)	12,121,286	40.70%
Wisconsin (Big 10)	12,121,286	40.70%
Pasadena Tournament of Roses Association	4,414,328	14.82%
Total Gross	<u>29,781,900</u>	

NON-BCS GAMES:

Ourhouse.com Florida Citrus Bowl

University of Florida (SEC)	3,866,392	41.09%
Michigan State University (Big 10)	3,866,392	41.09%
Florida Citrus Sports Association, Inc.	1,676,917	17.82%
Total Gross	<u>9,409,701</u>	

Southwestern Bell Cotton Bowl

Texas University (Big 12)	2,334,787	38.48%
University of Arkansas (SEC)	2,334,787	38.48%
Cotton Bowl Athletic Association	1,397,684	23.04%
Total Gross	<u>6,067,258</u>	

Distribution of Revenue
Page No. 2

Outback Bowl

University of Georgia (SEC)	1,911,462	37.50%
Purdue University (Big 10)	1,911,462	37.50%
Tampa Bay Bowl Association, Inc	1,274,308	25.00%
Total Gross	5,097,232	

Culligan Holiday Bowl

University of Washington (Pac 10)	1,960,109	40.00%
Kansas State University (Big 12)	1,960,109	40.00%
San Diego Bowl Game Association	980,055	20.00%
Total Gross	4,900,273	

Toyota Gator Bowl

Georgia Tech (ACC)	1,400,000	38.27%
University of Miami (Big East)	1,400,000	38.27%
Gator Bowl Association, Inc.	857,883	23.45%
Total Gross	3,657,883	

Chick-fil-A Peach Bowl

Clemson University (ACC)	1,750,000	37.57%
Mississippi State University (SEC)	1,750,000	37.57%
Peach Bowl, Inc.	1,157,924	24.86%
Total Gross	4,657,924	

Sylvania Alamo Bowl

Penn State University (Big 10)	1,478,325	37.50%
Texas A&M University (Big 12)	1,478,325	37.50%
San Antonio Bowl Association	985,550	25.00%
Total Gross	3,942,200	

Wells Fargo Sun Bowl

University of Minnesota (Big 10)	1,000,000	37.50%
University of Oregon (PAC 10)	1,000,000	37.50%
Sun Bowl Association	666,484	24.99%
Total Gross	2,666,484	

Motor City Bowl

Brigham Young University (Mt. West)	750,000	42.98%
Marshall University (MAC)	750,000	42.98%
Motor City Bowl Foundation	245,063	14.04%
Total Gross	1,745,063	

Distribution of Revenue
Page No. 3

Mobile Alabama Bowl

East Carolina University (Conference USA)	807,577	37.50%
Texas Christian University (WAC)	807,577	37.50%
Mobile Alabama Bowl Inc.	538,383	25.00%
Total Gross	<u>2,153,537</u>	

AXA Liberty Bowl

Colorado State University (WAC)	1,249,125	37.48%
Southern Mississippi (Conference USA)	1,249,125	37.48%
Liberty Bowl Festival Association	834,928	25.05%
Total Gross	<u>3,333,178</u>	

Sanford Independence Bowl

University of Mississippi (SEC)	1,119,948	37.50%
Oklahoma (Big 12)	1,119,948	37.50%
Shreveport-Bossier City Sports Foundation	746,632	25.00%
Total Gross	<u>2,986,528</u>	

Jeep Aloha Bowl/Oahu Bowl

Arizona State University (PAC 10)	750,968	18.75%
Oregon State University (PAC 10)	750,968	18.75%
Wake Forest University (ACC)	750,968	18.75%
University of Hawaii (WAC)	750,968	18.75%
Aloha Sports, Inc.	1,001,290	25.00%
Total Gross	<u>4,005,162</u>	

Micron/PC Bowl

University of Virginia (ACC)	750,000	38.00%
University of Illinois (Big 10)	750,000	38.00%
Sunshine Football Festival	473,765	24.00%
Total Gross	<u>1,973,765</u>	

Las Vegas Bowl

University of Utah (Mt. West)	827,699	37.50%
Fresno State University (WAC)	827,699	37.50%
Las Vegas Bowl Association	551,800	25.00%
Total Gross	<u>2,207,198</u>	

Distribution of Revenue
Page No. 4

Insight.Com Bowl

University of Colorado (Big 12)	756,672	37.50%
Boston College (Big East)	756,672	37.50%
The Tucson Bowl Foundation	504,448	25.00%
Total Gross	<u>2,017,792</u>	

Humanitarian Bowl

Boise State University (Big West)	750,000	39.46%
University of Louisville (Conference USA)	750,000	39.46%
Sports Humanitarian Bowl Association, Inc.	400,666	21.08%
Total Gross	<u>1,900,666</u>	

Home Point.Com Music City Bowl

University of Kentucky (SEC)	1,015,495	37.55%
Syracuse University (Big East)	1,015,495	37.55%
Music City Bowl, Inc.	673,297	24.90%
Total Gross	<u>2,704,287</u>	

1998-99 Percent of Gross Receipts	1998-99		1999-00	1998-99 Percent of Gross Receipts
	115,866,230	Gross Receipts	121,119,985	
	<u>57,000,000</u>	Bowl Championship TV & Title Sponsorships	57,000,000	
	172,866,230	Total Receipts	178,119,985	
41.20%	71,222,608	Amount Distributed to Participating Institutions	74,701,626	41.94%
40.72%	<u>70,395,000</u>	Amount Distributed per Bowl Championship Series	70,497,000	39.58%
81.92%	141,617,608	Total Distributed to Institutions and Conferences	148,198,626	83.20%
18.08%	31,248,622	Amount to Sponsoring Agencies	32,921,359	18.48%

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 11, 2000 KEM ndw

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028843

SUPPLEMENT NO 29
Football Certification Subc 4/00

SUMMARY OF INSTITUTIONAL EXPENSES 1999-00 POSTSEASON FOOTBALL GAMES

	Other BCS Payouts	Bowl Championships Series 1999-00	Non-BCS Bowl Games 1999-00	Total 1999-00
BOWL PAYOUTS (Note 1)	\$ 4,500,000	\$ 90,239,572	\$ 50,459,054	\$ 145,198,626
INSTITUTIONS' EXPENSES				
TRANSPORTATION				
TEAM AND STAFF		1,975,677	5,242,529	7,218,206
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS		1,027,290	2,187,518	3,214,808
OFFICIAL PARTY		563,770	1,147,444	1,711,214
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM				
TEAM AND STAFF		3,336,077	6,787,796	10,123,873
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS		938,108	2,041,082	2,979,190
OFFICIAL PARTY		430,154	1,177,552	1,607,706
ENTERTAINMENT		170,118	782,564	952,682
PROMOTION		135,342	547,437	682,779
AWARDS		645,779	2,282,058	2,927,837
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES		392,729	1,119,001	1,511,730
TICKETS		1,746,370	5,845,509	7,591,879
ADMINISTRATIVE		1,208,615	2,145,417	3,354,032
MISCELLANEOUS		508,812	1,909,964	2,418,776
TOTAL EXPENSES		13,078,841	33,215,871	46,294,712
NET INCOME PARTICIPATING TEAMS & CONFERENCES		77,160,731	17,243,183	98,903,914

Note 1 (Bowl Championship Series Payout includes Rose Bowl Distribution)

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 11, 2000 KEM:ndw

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028844

SUPPLEMENT NO. 28
Federal Criminal Case No. 00-400

1999-00
NCAA
POSTSEASON FOOTBALL AVERAGE
BOWL PAYOUTS
PARTICIPATING TEAMS EXPENSES

EXPENSES	1999-00	1998-99	1999-00	1998-99
AVERAGE EXPENSES ALL NON-BCS BOWLS	\$ 874,101	\$ 926,379	\$ 1,327,870	\$ 1,308,818
AVERAGE EXPENSES ALL BCS BOWLS	\$ 1,634,855	\$ 1,541,919		
AVERAGE EXPENSES FOR ALL BOWLS	\$ 1,006,407	\$ 1,038,295	\$ 2,052,259	\$ 2,078,014
AVERAGE EXPENSE FOR BOWLS WITH PAYOUT LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO \$1,000,000	\$ 820,428	\$ 847,693	\$ 453,768	\$ 382,439
			\$ 9,645,091	\$ 9,708,101

BOWL PAYOUTS

AVERAGE BOWL PAYOUT PER SCHOOL (NON-BCS BOWLS)

AVERAGE NET TO CONFERENCES & PARTICIPATING
INSTITUTIONS (ALL BOWLS)

AVERAGE NET TO CONFERENCES & PARTICIPATING
INSTITUTIONS (NON-BCS BOWLS)

AVERAGE NET TO CONFERENCES & PARTICIPATING
INSTITUTIONS (BCS BOWLS)

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 11, 2001
FENR/HR

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028845

Analysis of Institution Expense for Non-BCS games
Page No. 1

1999-00 Bowl Participants

Institution	Arizona St. <i>Alouha</i>	Arkansas <i>Cotton</i>	Boise St <i>Humantarium</i>	Boston College <i>Insight.com</i>	Brigham Young <i>Motor City</i>	Clemson <i>Peach</i>	Colorado <i>Insight.com</i>
BOWL PAYOUT	750,968	2,334,787	750,000	756,672	750,000	1,750,000	756,672
EXPENSES:							
TRANSPORTATION							
TEAM AND STAFF	337,817	73,946	20,685	393,640	217,120	35,851	185,082
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	team	60,773		39,304	134,168	19,921	82,431
OFFICIAL PARTY	team	10,838			40,000	7,392	43,877
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM							
TEAM AND STAFF	team	226,912	38,575	125,134	381,160	240,537	259,587
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	359,937	32,878	14,500	89,848	14,521	40,511	76,389
OFFICIAL PARTY	-	44,497	125		5,700	70,018	41,223
ENTERTAINMENT	8,500	58,778		61,603	88	-	24,428
PROMOTION	3,495	-	6,400	25,771	1,077	9,546	17,507
AWARDS	70,314	30,624	70,715	89,268	15,000	84,850	75,073
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	32,651	5,056	14,370	28,145	4,336	160,041	38,762
TICKETS	33,705	108,780	-	384,930	413,536	9,165	194,664
ADMINISTRATIVE	18,946	-	-	-	-	6,598	86,001
MISCELLANEOUS	75,000	-	30,425	9,237	-	-	62,020
TOTAL EXPENSES	940,365	653,082	195,795	1,246,880	1,226,706	684,430	1,187,044
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(189,397)	1,681,705	554,205	(490,208)	(476,706)	1,065,570	(430,372)
CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTION	-	1,160,000	550,000		-	825,000	-
INSTITUTION NET INCOME (LOSS)	(189,397)	521,705	4,205	(490,208)	(476,706)	240,570	(430,372)

April 12, 2000/KEN/Im

Analysis of Institution Expense for Non-BCS games
Page No. 2

1999-00 Bowl Participants

Institution	Colorado St. <i>Liberty</i>	East Carolina <i>Mobile Alabama</i>	Florida <i>Citrus</i>	Fresno St. <i>Las Vegas</i>	Georgia <i>Outhack</i>	Georgia Tech <i>Gator</i>
BOWL PAYOUT	1,249,125	807,577	3,866,392	827,699	1,911,463	1,400,000
EXPENSES:		misc. rev. 6572				
		subtract conf. dist.				
TRANSPORTATION						
TEAM AND STAFF	108,980	138,664	55,000	60,654	46,923	47,473
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	21,116	23,582	9,000	9,821	34,000	14,499
OFFICIAL PARTY	15,188	team	2,000	9,063	17,363	2,355
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM						
TEAM AND STAFF	122,551	115,286	185,000	90,105	175,340	254,117
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	36,155	22,739	30,000	30,701	156,621	69,501
OFFICIAL PARTY	39,585	team	20,000	13,816	64,274	63,029
ENTERTAINMENT	16,265	537	20,000	3,838	25,163	35,022
PROMOTION	26,967	17,526	12,000	51,075	7,901	46,200
AWARDS	30,910	44,825	65,000	60,464	51,114	86,989
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	22,943	10,881	30,000	114,309	12,869	13,266
TICKETS	216,580	212,625	295,440	233,945	138,125	168,840
ADMINISTRATIVE	95,791	2,739	30,000	2,489	242,361	73,760
MISCELLANEOUS	175,000	177,998	216,560	40,815	-	177,463
TOTAL EXPENSES	928,031	767,402	950,000	721,095	972,054	1,052,415
NET INCOME (LOSS)	321,094	40,175	2,916,392	106,604	939,408	347,585
CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTION		193,428	2,400,000		1,040,000	500,000
INSTITUTION NET INCOME (LOSS)	321,094	(153,253)	516,392	106,604	(100,592)	(152,415)

April 12, 2000/LEN1 fm

Analysis of Institution Expense for Non-BCS games
Page No. 3

1999-00 Bowl Participants

Institution	Hawaii <i>Oahu</i>	Illinois <i>Microinc.com</i>	Kansas State <i>Holiday</i>	Kentucky <i>Music City</i>	Louisville <i>Humanitarian</i>	Marshall <i>Motor City</i>	Miami <i>Gator</i>
BOWL PAYOUT	750,968	750,000	1,960,109	1,015,495	750,000	750,000	1,400,000
EXPENSES							
TRANSPORTATION							
TEAM AND STAFF	21,724	158,034	174,109	46,069	179,507	53,799	66,095
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	5,157	43,383	243,612	12,895	39,675	13,242	16,721
OFFICIAL PARTY	-		125,664	589	174,648	-	51,397
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM							
TEAM AND STAFF	51,788	215,501	312,248	162,230	120,273	78,449	242,927
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	224	64,778	88,836	17,397	3,240	19,276	25,298
OFFICIAL PARTY	7,248	-	81,711	550	team	5,569	75,005
ENTERTAINMENT	12,330	-	22,480	22,291	61,731	1,626	21,183
PROMOTION	26,516	14,706	17,342	2,728	4,736	-	11,771
AWARDS	6,996	73,091	17,083	71,531	56,923	45,283	70,452
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	17,938	6,073	64,856	18,222	39,706	6,169	81,466
TICKETS	139,870	302,058	40,026	4,240	113,250	-	393,268
ADMINISTRATIVE	47,690	78,772	13,405	12,931	-	1,435	11,427
MISCELLANEOUS	124,377	10,119	36,768	500	-	29,815	-
TOTAL EXPENSES	461,848	966,514	1,238,140	372,174	793,689	254,662	1,067,010
NET INCOME (LOSS)	289,120	1216,514	721,969	643,321	(43,689)	495,338	332,990
CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTION	520,000		815,363	120,490	150,000	549,700	450,000
INSTITUTION NET INCOME (LOSS)	(230,880)	(216,514)	(93,394)	522,831	(193,689)	(54,362)	(117,010)

April 12, 2000/EFM:fm

Analysis of Institution Expense for Non-BCS games
Page No. 4

1999-00 Bowl Participants

Institution	Michigan St. <i>Citrus</i>	Minnesota <i>Sun</i>	Mississippi <i>Independence</i>	Mississippi State <i>Peach</i>	Oklahoma <i>Independence</i>	Oregon <i>Sun</i>
BOWL PAYOUT	3,866,392	1,000,000	1,119,948	1,750,000	1,119,948	1,000,000
EXPENSES:						
TRANSPORTATION						
TEAM AND STAFF	218,563	140,012	103,376	74,425	69,169	483,007
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	51,365	232,651	97,000	16,100	22,931	9,572
OFFICIAL PARTY	44,545	71,748	-	27,233	40,750	-
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM						
TEAM AND STAFF	340,831	214,037	201,201	148,928	130,950	88,882
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	108,133	73,807	-	45,207	41,150	23,907
OFFICIAL PARTY	team and staff	team and staff	-	48,038	13,598	-
ENTERTAINMENT	24,997	10,674	3,600	42,533	43,376	3,244
PROMOTION	31,635	18,695	1,703	20,523	5,559	15,700
AWARDS	68,907	41,308	56,689	57,540	69,858	40,928
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	40,553	37,094	79,563	35,511	17,508	38,177
TICKETS	91,560	41,025	61,635	79,215	4,445	200,730
ADMINISTRATIVE	6,003	14,641	-	223,129	129,403	179,129
MISCELLANEOUS	62,267	-	207,765	-	8,582	-
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,089,261	895,692	812,533	818,381	597,278	1,083,276
NET INCOME (LOSS)	2,777,031	104,308	307,415	931,619	522,670	(83,276)
CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTION	2,600,000	-	400,000	880,000	200,000	15,243
INSTITUTION NET INCOME (LOSS)	177,031	104,308	(92,585)	51,619	322,670	(98,519)

April 12, 2000/KEM:lm

Analysis of Institution Expense for Non-BCS games
Page No. 5

1999-00 Bowl Participants

Institution	Oregon St. <i>Oahu</i>	Penn State <i>Alamo</i>	Purdue <i>Outback</i>	So. Mississippi <i>Liberty</i>	Syracuse <i>Music City</i>	Texas <i>Cotton</i>	Texas A&M <i>Alamo</i>
BOWL PAYOUT	750,968	1,478,325	1,911,462	1,249,125	1,015,495	2,334,787	1,478,325
EXPENSES:							
TRANSPORTATION							
TEAM AND STAFF	235,000	192,521	157,831	39,775	105,050	108,952	28,932
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	37,000	221,155	170,030	15,900	138,547	35,000	77,542
OFFICIAL PARTY	140,000	161,829	112,051	4,100	team	-	team
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM							
TEAM AND STAFF	232,000	311,205	229,037	65,750	129,122	342,842	88,133
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	20,000	56,345	138,494	49,464	37,574	98,000	team
OFFICIAL PARTY	156,000	28,257	146,349	50,950	team	-	108,641
ENTERTAINMENT	15,000	22,637	12,791	29,600	-	68,435	37,091
PROMOTION	10,000	-	-	16,550	500	-	-
AWARDS	65,000	40,825	80,443	51,250	36,017	100,633	121,410
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	20,000	15,880	39,614	1,200	23,544	-	557
TICKETS	125,370	-	75,120	268,135	174,065	248,400	-
ADMINISTRATIVE	7,500	228,671	32,977	119,350	210,898	11,895	9,220
MISCELLANEOUS		16,556	2,166	19,375	-	141,635	276,696
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,052,870	1,295,883	1,196,903	731,399	855,318	1,155,792	748,223
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(301,902)	182,442	714,559	517,726	160,177	1,178,995	730,102
CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTION		824,000	700,000	350,000		1,140,720	158,964
INSTITUTION NET INCOME (LOSS)	(301,902)	(641,558)	14,559	167,726	160,177	38,275	571,139

April 12, 2000/KEM:lm

Analysis of Institution Expense for Non-BCS games
Page No. 6

1999-00 Bowl Participants

Institution	Texas Christian <i>Mobile Alabama</i>	Utah <i>Las Vegas</i>	Virginia <i>Micronpc.com</i>	Wake Forest <i>Aloha</i>	Washington <i>Holiday</i>	Total
BOWL PAYOUT	807,577	827,699	750,000	750,968	1,960,109	50,459,054
EXPENSES:						
TRANSPORTATION						
TEAM AND STAFF	75,008	57,280	129,136	401,201	212,118	5,242,529
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	62,815	6,425	17,560		152,624	2,187,518
OFFICIAL PARTY	3,437	12,450	1,889		27,137	1,147,444
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM						
TEAM AND STAFF	118,395	57,259	164,422	129,460	397,622	6,787,796
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	27,630	30,939	27,564	23,554	45,963	2,041,082
OFFICIAL PARTY	8,327	16,371	13,728	41,598	13,344	1,177,552
ENTERTAINMENT	-	2,860	14,811	24,171	30,891	782,564
PROMOTION	58,964	20,989	29,880	8,490	4,985	547,437
AWARDS	141,419	33,690	29,816	55,488	74,331	2,282,058
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	18,826	12,849	8,213		27,852	1,119,001
TICKETS	237,490	234,985	404,221	9,360	186,706	5,845,509
ADMINISTRATIVE	-	46	86,579	105,437	56,195	2,145,417
MISCELLANEOUS	8,825	-	-		-	1,909,964
TOTAL EXPENSES	761,136	486,145	927,819	798,759	1,229,768	33,215,870
NET INCOME (LOSS)	46,441	341,554	(177,819)	(47,791)	730,341	17,243,184
CONFERENCE DISTRIBUTION	-		150,000		850,584	(7,543,492)
INSTITUTION NET INCOME (LOSS)	46,441	341,554	(327,819)	(47,791)	(120,243)	-300,308

April 12, 2000/9-EM lim

SUPPLEMENT NO 29
Football Certification Subc 4/00

1998-99 Bowl Participants
Bowl Championship Series

Institution	Alabama Orange	Florida St. Sugar	Michigan Orange	Nebraska Fiesta	Stanford Rose	Tennessee Fiesta	Virginia Tech Sugar	Wisconsin Rose	BCS T1/Sponsorships	Totals
BOWL PAYOUT	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,186,000	12,683,786	2,186,000	2,000,000	12,683,786	57,000,000	94,739,572
EXPENSES										
TRANSPORTATION										
TEAM AND STAFF	188,950	128,000	497,842	250,696	119,135	334,289	92,116	364,649		1,975,677
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	37,790	27,400	34,398	204,176	104,025	251,257	161,252	206,992		1,027,290
OFFICIAL PARTY	11,485	3,700	5,950	19,500	108,305	239,418	114,569	39,843		563,770
MEALS/LODGING/PER DIEM										
TEAM AND STAFF	361,441	358,400	467,908	506,190	478,128	361,420	399,171	403,419		3,336,077
BAND AND CHEERLEADERS	144,307	107,800	129,263	119,755	79,546	120,883	111,654	125,000		938,108
OFFICIAL PARTY	26,031	34,000	25,549	18,439	138,183	123,554	15,700	48,698		430,154
ENTERTAINMENT	45,645	37,800	-	17,479	26,432	1,163	30,000	11,509		170,118
PROMOTION	-	25,900	6,775	8,000	3,516	55,018	20,546	15,587		135,342
AWARDS	15,653	65,000	69,031	90,340	52,494	85,297	171,046	96,968		645,779
EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES	20,177	42,000	7,956	5,275	142,997	15,718	103,670	54,936		392,729
TICKETS	250,540	134,300	133,950	138,775	185,900	659,250	113,735	139,920		1,746,370
ADMINISTRATIVE	507,887	15,100	10,767	239,798	138,560	250,582	45,921	-		1,208,615
MISCELLANEOUS	-	-	27,560	1,819	81,989	-	397,444	-		508,812
TOTAL EXPENSES	1,630,806	979,400	1,416,949	1,610,192	1,659,210	2,497,849	1,776,824	1,507,611	-	13,078,841
NET INCOME (LOSS)	369,194	1,020,600	583,051	575,808	11,024,576	(311,849)	223,176	11,176,175	57,000,000	81,660,731

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028852

SUPPLEMENT NO. 30
Football Certification Subc 4/00

**CONFERENCE ANALYSIS OF
1999-00 NCAA CERTIFIED
POSTSEASON FOOTBALL BOWL GAMES**

Conference	Bowl	Institution	Institution's Bowl Revenue	Participating Institution's Expense	Excess of Revenue over Expenses	% of Excess Revenue/Expenses per Conference
ACC	Peach	Clemson	1,750,000	684,430	1,065,570	1%
	Aloha/Oahu	Wake Forest	750,968	798,759	-47,791	0%
	Micron/PC	Virginia	750,000	927,819	-177,819	0%
	Gator	Georgia Tech	1,400,000	1,052,415	347,585	0%
	BCS (Sugar)	Florida State	13,499,250	979,400	12,519,850	13%
ACC Totals			18,150,218	4,442,823	13,707,395	14%
Big East	Music City	Syracuse	1,015,495	855,318	160,177	0%
	Insight.Com	Boston College	756,672	1,246,880	-490,208	0%
	Gator	Miami	1,400,000	1,067,010	332,990	0%
	BCS (Sugar)	Virginia Tech	13,499,250	1,776,824	11,722,426	12%
Big East Totals			16,671,417	4,946,032	11,725,385	12%
Big Twelve	Alamo	Texas A&M	1,478,325	748,223	730,102	1%
	Insight Com	Colorado	756,672	1,187,044	-430,372	0%
	Holiday	Kansas State	1,960,109	1,238,140	721,969	1%
	Cotton	Texas	2,334,787	1,155,792	1,178,995	1%
	Independence	Oklahoma	1,119,948	597,278	522,670	1%
	BCS (Fiesta)	Nebraska	13,499,250	1,610,192	11,889,058	12%
Big Twelve Totals			21,149,091	6,536,669	14,612,422	15%
Big Ten	Citrus	Michigan State	3,866,392	1,089,361	2,777,031	3%
	Outback	Purdue	1,911,462	1,196,903	714,559	1%
	Alamo	Penn State	1,478,325	1,295,883	182,442	0%
	Sun Bowl	Minnesota	1,000,000	895,692	104,308	0%
	Micron/PC	Illinois	750,000	966,514	-216,514	0%
	BCS (Rose)	Wisconsin	12,121,286	1,507,611	10,613,675	11%
	BCS (Orange)	Michigan	6,000,000	1,416,949	4,583,051	5%
Big Ten Totals			27,127,465	8,368,913	18,758,552	19%
Big West	Humanitarian	Boise State	750,000	195,795	554,205	1%
	Bowl Championship Series Revenue		300,000	-	300,000	0%
Big West Totals			1,050,000	195,795	854,205	1%
Conference USA	Liberty	Southern Miss.	1,249,125	731,399	517,726	1%
	Humanitarian	Louisville	750,000	793,689	-43,689	0%
	Mobile	East Carolina	807,577	767,402	40,175	0%
	Bowl Championship Series Revenue		800,000	-	800,000	1%
Conf. USA Totals			3,606,702	2,292,490	1,314,212	1%

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028853

1999-00 Conference Analysis

Page No. 2

Conference	Bowl	Institution	Institution's Bowl Revenue	Participating Institution's Expense	Excess of Revenue over Expenses	% of Excess Revenue/Expenses per Institution
Mid-American	Motor City	Marshall	750,000	254,662	495,338	1%
	Bowl Championship Series Revenue		600,000	-	600,000	1%
Mid-American Totals			1,350,000	254,662	1,095,338	1%
Pac-10	Holiday	Washington	1,960,109	1,229,768	730,341	1%
	Sun	Oregon	1,000,000	1,083,276	-83,276	0%
	Aloha	Arizona State	750,968	940,365	-189,397	0%
	BCS (Rose)	Stanford	12,121,286	1,659,210	10,462,076	11%
	Oahu	Oregon State	750,968	1,052,870	-301,902	0%
	Bowl Championship Series Revenue		2,499,750	-	-	0%
Pac-10 Totals			19,083,081	5,965,489	13,117,592	13%
Mt. West	Motor City	Brigham Young	750,000	1,226,706	-476,706	0%
	Liberty	Colorado State	1,249,125	928,031	321,094	0%
	Las Vegas	Utah	827,699	486,145	341,554	0%
	Bowl Championship Series Revenue		800,000	-	-	0%
Mt. West Totals			3,626,824	2,640,882	985,942	1%
SEC	Music City	Kentucky	1,015,495	372,174	643,321	1%
	Peach	Mississippi State	1,750,000	818,381	931,619	1%
	Outback	Georgia	1,911,462	972,054	939,408	1%
	Independence	Mississippi	1,119,948	812,533	307,415	0%
	Cotton	Arkansas	2,334,787	653,082	1,681,705	2%
	Citrus	Florida	3,866,392	950,000	2,916,392	3%
	BCS (Orange)	Alabama	8,499,750	1,630,806	6,868,944	7%
	BCS (Fiesta)	Tennessee	8,499,750	2,497,849	6,001,901	6%
SEC Totals			28,997,584	8,706,879	20,290,705	21%
WAC	Las Vegas	Fresno State	827,699	721,095	106,604	0%
	Mobile	Texas Christian	807,577	761,136	46,441	0%
	Oahu	Hawaii	750,968	461,848	289,120	0%
	Bowl Championship Series Revenue		800,000	-	800,000	1%
WAC Totals			3,186,244	1,944,079	1,242,165	1%
I-AA Conference Distributions			1,200,000	-	1,200,000	1%
TOTALS			145,198,626	46,294,713	98,903,913	100%

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 12, 1999 KEM:vl5

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028854

Bowl Analysis By Conference
Page No. 1

FIVE-YEAR ANALYSIS OF PARTICIPANTS
IN POSTSEASON FOOTBALL BOWL GAMES
BY MAJOR CONFERENCES AND INDEPENDENTS (1995-96 TO 1999-00)

CONFERENCE	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-00	TOTAL
Atlantic Coast	Clemson-Gator Florida State-Orange North Carolina-Carquest Virginia-Peach	Clemson-Peach Florida State-Sugar North Carolina-Gator Virginia-Carquest	Clemson-Peach Florida State-Sugar Georgia Tech-Carquest North Carolina-Gator	Florida State-Fiesta Georgia Tech-Gator North Carolina-Las Vegas North Carolina St.-Micron/PC Virginia-Peach	Clemson-Peach Florida St.-Sugar Georgia Tech-Gator Wake Forest-Aloha Virginia-Micron PC	22
Big East	Syracuse-Gator Virginia Tech-Sugar	Miami-Carquest Syracuse-Liberty Virginia Tech-Orange West Virginia-Gator	Pittsburgh-Liberty Syracuse-Fiesta Virginia Tech-Gator West Virginia-Carquest	Miami-Micron/PC Syracuse-Orange Virginia Tech-Music City West Virginia-Insight Com	Boston College-Insight com Miami-Gator Syracuse-Music City Virginia Tech-Sugar	18
Big Eight	Colorado-Cotton Kansas-Aloha Kansas State-Holiday Nebraska-Fiesta					4
Big Ten	Iowa-Sun Michigan-Alamo Michigan St.-Independence Northwestern-Rose Ohio State-Citrus Penn State-Outback	Iowa-Alamo Michigan-Outback Michigan State-Sun Northwestern-Citrus Ohio State-Rose Penn State-Fiesta Wisconsin-Copper	Iowa-Sun Michigan-Rose Michigan State-Aloha Ohio State-Sugar Penn State-Citrus Purdue-Alamo Wisconsin-Outback	Michigan-Citrus Ohio State-Sugar Penn State-Outback Purdue-Alamo Wisconsin-Rose	Illinois-Micron/PC Michigan St.-Citrus Michigan-Orange Minnesota-Sun Penn St.-Alamo Purdue-Outback Wisconsin-Rose	32
Big Twelve	Colorado-Holiday Kansas State-Cotton Nebraska-Orange Texas-Fiesta Texas Tech-Alamo	Colorado-Holiday Kansas State-Cotton Nebraska-Orange Texas-Fiesta Texas Tech-Alamo	Kansas State-Fiesta Missouri-Holiday Nebraska-Orange Oklahoma State-Alamo Texas A&M-Cotton	Colorado-Aloha Kansas State-Alamo Missouri-Insight Com Nebraska-Holiday Texas-Cotton Texas A&M-Sugar Texas Tech-Independence	Colorado-Insight.com Kansas St.-Holiday Nebraska-Fiesta Oklahoma-Independence Texas A&M-Alamo Texas-Cotton	23
Big West	Nevada-Reno-Las Vegas	Nevada, Reno-Las Vegas	Utah State-Humanitarian	Idaho-Humanitarian	Boise St.-Humanitarian	5
Conference USA		Houston-Liberty	Cincinnati-Humanitarian Southern Miss-Liberty	Louisville-Motor City Southern Miss-Humanitarian Tulane-Liberty	East Carolina-Mobile Louisville-Humanitarian Southern Miss-Liberty	9

Bowl Analysis By Conference
Page No. 2

<u>CONFERENCE</u>	<u>1995-96</u>	<u>1996-97</u>	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>1998-99</u>	<u>1999-00</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Mid-American	Toledo-Las Vegas	Ball State-Las Vegas	Marshall-Motor City	Marshall-Motor City	Marshall-Motor City	5
Mountain West					BYU-Motor City Utah-Las Vegas Colorado St-Liberty	3
Pacific-10	UCLA-Aloha Oregon-Cotton Southern Cal-Rose Stanford-Liberty Washington-Sun	Arizona State-Rose California-Aloha Stanford-Sun Washington-Holiday	Arizona-Insight Com Arizona State-Sun Oregon-Las Vegas UCLA-Cotton Washington-Aloha Washington State-Rose	Arizona-Holiday Oregon-Aloha UCLA-Rose USC-Sun Washington-Oahu	Arizona St-Aloha Oregon-Sun Stanford-Rose Washington-Holiday Oregon State-Oahu	25
Patriot		Army-Independence Navy-Aloha				2
Southeastern	Arkansas-Carquest Auburn-Outback Florida-Fiesta Georgia-Peach Louisiana St-Independence Tennessee-Citrus	Alabama-Outback Auburn-Independence Florida-Sugar Louisiana State-Peach Tennessee-Citrus	Auburn-Peach Florida-Citrus Georgia-Outback Mississippi-Motor City Tennessee-Orange Louisiana State-Independence	Arkansas-Citrus Florida-Orange Georgia-Peach Kentucky-Outback Mississippi-Independence Tennessee-Fiesta Alabama-Music City Mississippi St-Cotton	Alabama-Orange Arkansas-Cotton Florida-Citrus Georgia-Outback Kentucky-Music City Miss St-Peach Ole Miss-Independence Tennessee-Fiesta	33
Southwest	Texas-Sugar Texas A&M-Alamo Texas Tech-Copper					3
Western Athletic	Air Force-Copper Colorado State-Holiday	Brigham Young-Cotton Utah-Copper	U.S. Air Force-Las Vegas Colorado State-Holiday New Mexico-Insight Com	Brigham Young-Liberty San Diego St-Las Vegas Texas Christian-Sun U.S. Air Force-Oahu	Fresno St-Las Vegas Texas Christian-Mobile Hawaii-Oahu	14
Independents	East Carolina-Liberty Notre Dame-Fiesta	East Carolina-Liberty Notre Dame-Orange	Notre Dame-Independence	Notre Dame-Gator		6
Total	36	38	40	44	46	204

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 3, 2000 KEM:mdw

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028856

SUPPLEMENT NO 31
Football Certification Subc 4/00

GROSS RECEIPTS SUMMARY

FOOTBALL	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99	1999-00	Percent Change from Previous Year
TICKETS	45,279,843	46,944,590	52,905,716	62,470,265	64,593,328	3.4%
MEMBERSHIP	674,188	714,993	920,075	1,023,313	936,642	-8.5%
CONCESSIONS	237,059	325,042	374,527	393,695	370,419	-5.9%
PROGRAMS	548,085	595,864	616,775	669,851	604,289	-9.8%
ADVERTISING	1,394,848	809,977	766,440	2,565,682	2,208,235	-13.9%
RADIO	234,000	236,250	75,425	15,225	28,070	84.4%
TELEVISION	52,536,049	48,118,248	52,039,789	31,565,655	32,292,697	2.3%
MERCHANDISING	526,561	429,862	382,328	438,695	441,351	0.6%
FILM/MOVIE/VIDEO RIGHTS	-	-	0	-	-	-
LICENSING	354,070	398,667	382,592	856,714	705,132	-17.7%
TITLE SPONSORSHIP	23,861,607	16,194,316	17,850,879	9,114,196	10,332,627	13.4%
CORPORATE SPONSORS	4,044,430	4,631,667	5,237,042	4,967,072	6,029,174	21.4%
INTEREST	1,301,706	1,148,249	1,341,669	872,828	1,120,463	28.4%
OTHER	354,494	700,544	2,140,441	2,662,733	3,511,800	31.9%
LESS: DEDUCTIONS	(445,563)	(874,976)	(1,521,868)	(1,749,694)	(2,054,242)	17.4%
BCS TV & SPONSORSHIPS				57,000,000	57,000,000	0.0%
TOTAL GROSS RECEIPTS	130,901,377	120,373,293	133,511,830	172,866,230	178,119,985	3.0%

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 12, 2000
KEM:lm

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028857

[illegible]

[illegible]

1998-99						
GROSS RECEIPTS SUMMARY						
	MICRON/PC	MOBILE	MUSIC CITY	MOTOR CITY	ORANGE	OUTBACK
TICKETS	1,366,974	1,351,568	2,158,645	1,270,744	5,573,920	2,364,740
MEMBERSHIP	0		2,417	154,164	0	342,667
CONCESSIONS	0		0	0	0	0
PROGRAMS	5,375	8,625	0	0	82,254	36,625
ADVERTISING	0	39,650	850	114,791	0	0
RADIO	0		0	0	0	0
TELEVISION	400,000	20,000	355,850	136,000	556,000	1,496,598
MERCHANDISING	9,857		1,423	5,218	0	90,490
LICENSING	0	2,000	0	0	66,947	0
TITLE SPONSORSHIP	0	763,105	129,095	96,094	145,570	550,315
CORPORATE SPONSORS	260,833	19,203	133,125	39,334	0	327,605
INTEREST	9,646	21,384	11,091	10,174	87,448	46,388
OTHER	0	27,080	21,040	22,313	91,332	49,518
DEDUCTIONS	-78,665	-99,078	-109,250	-103,769	-97,000	-207,714
TOTAL GROSS RECEIPTS						
	1,974,020	2,153,537	2,704,286	1,745,063	6,506,471	5,097,232

							BOWL	
GROSS RECEIPTS SUMMARY	PEACH	ROSE	SUGAR	SUN	CHS. SERIES	TOTALS		
TICKETS	2,992,446	9,657,655	7,198,785	1,270,768		64,593,328		
MEMBERSHIP	136,494	0	0	0		936,642		
CONCESSIONS	0	130,937	0	60,598		370,419		
PROGRAMS	0	281,895	0	4,867		604,289		
ADVERTISING	0	0	878,085	0		2,208,235		
RADIO	0	0	0	0		28,070		
TELEVISION	570,000	19,037,276	0	270,000	57,000,000	89,292,697		
MERCHANDISING	27,043	0	0	23,820		441,351		
LICENSING	0	0	325,637	0		705,132		
TITLE SPONSORSHIP	729,941	0	315,000	337,300		10,332,627		
CORPORATE SPONSORS	263,474	422,054	838,000	0		6,029,174		
INTEREST	31,589	259,503	142,543	23,211		1,120,463		
OTHER	9,274	4,580	1,740,000	838,622		3,511,800		
DEDUCTIONS	-102,337	-12,000	-97,004	-162,550		-2,054,242		
TOTAL GROSS RECEIPTS	4,657,924	29,781,900	11,341,046	2,666,636	57,000,000	178,119,985		

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 12, 2000
KEM:ndw

SUPPLEMENT No. 32
NCAA Football Certification Subcommittee
4/00

FINANCIAL PAYOFF OF NCAA CERTIFIED
POSTSEASON FOOTBALL BOWL GAMES

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Alamo	1993-94	705,515	37.5	470,344	25.0			1,881,374
	1994-95	750,000	38.4	455,167	23.2			1,955,167
	1995-96	1,273,325	37.5	848,883	25.0			3,395,533
	1996-97	1,130,433	37.5	753,622	25.0			3,014,488
	1997-98	1,141,280	37.5	760,853	25.0			3,043,413
	1998-99	1,241,701	37.5	827,801	25.0			3,311,203
	1999-00	1,478,325	37.5	985,550	25.0			3,942,200
Aloha/Oahu	1982-83	300,000	47.2	35,701	5.6			635,701
	1983-84	400,000	45.9	71,026	8.2			871,026
	1984-85	400,000	37.5	260,113	25.0			1,060,113
	1985-86	400,000	37.5	209,357	25.0			1,009,357
	1986-87	500,000	61.0	-0-	0.0			782,236
	1987-88	500,000	72.0	-0-	0.0			861,632
	1988-89	500,000	38.5	299,982	23.1			1,299,982
	1989-90	601,036	37.5	400,491	25.0			1,602,763
	1990-91	600,000	37.9	382,617	24.2			1,582,617
	1991-92	650,000	37.5	432,919	25.0			1,732,919
	1992-93	755,111	37.5	503,409	25.0			2,013,631
	1993-94	750,609	37.5	500,405	25.0			2,001,623
	1994-95	750,000	40.7	340,764	18.6			1,840,764
	1995-96	750,000	42.5	265,529	15.0			1,765,529
	1996-97	750,039	37.5	500,026	25.0			2,000,105
	1997-98	753,920	37.5	502,613	25.0			2,010,453
	L--1998-99	750,000	19.0	939,183	24.0			3,939,183
	1999-00	750,968	37.5	1,001,290	25.0			4,005,162
Micron/PC	1990-91	1,624,502	37.5	1,083,000	25.0			4,332,004
	1991-92	2,500,000	42.0	957,078	16.0			5,957,078
	1992-93	1,525,000	40.9	676,095	18.2			3,726,095
	1993-94	1,000,000	39.6	522,588	20.8			2,522,588
	1994-95	1,000,000	37.7	652,981	24.6			2,652,981
	1995-96	750,000	38.5	446,087	23.0			1,946,087
	1996-97	750,000	39.4	404,103	21.2			1,904,103
	1997-98	750,000	38.5	449,616	23.0			1,949,616
	M--1998-99	750,000	37.5	499,043	25.0			1,999,043
	1999-00	750,000	38.0	473,765	24.0			1,974,020
Citrus	1976-77	106,262	37.5	70,842	25.0			383,366
	1977-78	178,175	37.5	118,784	25.0			475,134
	1978-79	127,509	37.5	85,005	25.0			340,023
	1979-80	157,138	37.5	104,758	25.0			419,035
	1980-81	253,670	37.0	169,114	25.0			676,454
	1981-82	305,000	37.5	203,333	25.0			813,333
	1982-83	350,000	37.5	233,333	25.0			933,333
	A--1983-84	500,000	37.5	333,333	25.0			1,333,333
	1984-85	600,000	37.5	400,000	25.0			1,600,000
	1985-86	750,000	45.3	158,151	9.5			1,658,151
	1986-87	900,000	45.0	204,831	10.0			2,004,831
	1987-88	1,050,000	46.9	139,527	6.2			2,239,527

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028862

Financial Payoff History
Page No. 2

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Citrus (Continued)	1988-89	1,150,000	47.3	133,246	5.4			2,433,246
	1989-90	1,200,000	41.7	475,769	16.5			2,875,769
	1990-91	1,350,000	41.9	518,988	16.2			3,218,988
	1991-92	1,350,000	44.3	349,702	11.4			3,049,702
	1992-93	2,000,000	48.0	170,049	4.0			4,170,049
	1993-94	2,350,000	40.6	1,089,973	18.8			5,789,973
	1994-95	2,400,000	41.2	1,030,170	17.6			5,830,170
	1995-96	3,059,500	42.8	1,023,474	14.4			7,142,474
	1996-97	3,110,500	44.2	822,087	11.6			7,043,087
	1997-98	3,361,868	41.2	1,435,012	17.6			8,158,748
	1998-99	3,672,359	43.3	1,145,707	13.4			8,490,425
	1999-00	3,866,932	41.09	1,676,917	17.82			9,409,701
Insight.Com	1989-90	500,000	38.3	310,444	23.7			1,310,444
	1990-91	600,000	37.7	390,832	24.6			1,590,832
	1991-92	650,000	39.2	359,904	21.6			1,659,904
	1992-93	650,000	38.3	395,511	23.4			1,695,511
	1993-94	700,000	39.4	377,733	21.2			1,777,733
	1994-95	750,000	38.8	433,301	22.4			1,933,301
	1995-96	750,000	40.4	355,710	19.2			1,855,710
	1996-97	952,897	37.5	635,265	25.0			2,541,059
	1997-98	802,381	37.5	534,922	25.0			2,139,684
	1998-99	769,004	37.5	512,668	25.0			2,050,676
	1999-00	756,672	37.5	504,448	25.0			2,017,791
Cotton	1976-77	920,723	37.5	613,815	25.0			2,455,261
	1977-78	1,008,372	37.5	672,248	25.0			2,688,992
	1978-79	1,526,275	37.5	1,017,516	25.0			4,070,066
	1979-80	1,724,133	37.5	1,149,422	25.0			4,597,688
	1980-81	1,912,084	37.5	1,274,722	25.0			5,098,890
	1981-82	1,630,041	37.5	1,086,693	25.0			4,346,775
	1982-83	1,852,534	37.5	1,235,022	25.0			4,940,090
	1983-84	1,854,362	37.5	1,236,241	25.0			4,944,965
	1984-85	1,988,181	37.5	1,325,453	25.0			5,301,815
	1985-86	1,075,595	37.5	1,383,730	25.0			5,534,920
	1986-87	2,161,659	37.5	1,441,104	25.0			5,764,442
	1987-88	2,400,000	39.9	1,212,698	20.2			6,012,289
	1988-89	2,600,090	38.6	1,544,680	22.8			6,746,060
	1989-90	2,900,037	38.8	1,670,746	28.8			7,470,820
	1990-91	2,794,737	35.0	1,909,210	24.2	400,000	5.0	7,898,684
	1991-92	2,827,102	35.0	2,018,068	25.0	400,000	5.0	8,072,272
	1992-93	2,800,000	36.7	1,627,018	21.3	400,000	5.3	7,627,018
	1993-94	3,000,000	39.1	1,670,410	21.8			7,670,410
	1994-95	3,000,000	38.2	1,854,718	23.6			7,854,718
	1995-96	2,000,000	44.0	528,716	12.0			4,528,716
	1996-97	2,000,000	38.0	1,237,812	24.0			5,237,812
	1997-98	2,000,000	38.0	1,216,380	24.0			5,216,380
	1998-99	2,223,492	38.4	1,335,864	23.2			5,782,848
	1999-00	2,334,787	38.5	1,397,684	23.0			6,067,258

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028863

Financial Payoff History
Page No. 3

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Fiesta	1976-77	217,278	37.5	144,851	25.0			579,407
	1977-78	251,948	37.5	167,966	25.0			671,862
	1978-79	342,563	37.5	228,375	25.0			913,501
	1979-80	343,300	37.6	266,432	25.0			913,032
	1980-81	475,564	37.5	317,043	25.0			1,268,171
	1981-82	637,533	37.5	425,022	25.0			1,700,088
	1982-83	850,685	37.5	567,123	25.0			2,268,493
	1983-84	817,831	37.5	545,222	25.0			2,180,884
	1984-85	885,931	37.5	590,621	25.0			2,362,483
	1985-86	1,070,332	37.5	713,555	25.0			2,854,219
	1986-87	2,400,000	46.7	344,471	6.6			5,144,471
	1987-88	2,030,000	38.4	1,232,698	23.2			5,292,698
	1988-89	3,000,000	39.1	1,668,623	21.8			7,668,623
	1989-90	2,250,000	38.2	1,553,657	23.7			6,553,657
	1990-91	2,200,000	39.4	1,180,910	21.2			5,580,910
	1991-92	2,080,000	37.6	1,377,383	24.8			5,537,383
	1992-93	3,000,000	40.1	1,473,896	19.8			7,473,896
	1993-94	3,000,000	39.2	1,647,894	21.6			7,647,894
	1994-95	3,000,000	38.0	1,799,009	24.0			7,799,009
	B--1995-96	13,600,000	39.0	7,803,062	22.0			35,003,062
	B--1996-97	8,600,000	40.0	4,525,354	20.8			21,725,354
	B--1997-98	5,600,000	46.3	892,880	7.4			12,092,880
	N--1998-99	\$2,200,000	19.1	7,079,175	62.0			11,479,175
	1999-00	2,200,000	27.2	3,692,437	45.6			8,092,437
Freedom	1984-85	500,000	53.5	-0-	0.0			931,035
	1985-86	500,000	48.7	26,630	2.6			1,026,630
	1986-87	515,005	37.5	343,337	25.0			1,373,348
	1987-88	500,000	39.3	270,720	21.3			1,270,720
	1988-89	500,000	37.7	326,368	24.6			1,326,368
	1989-90	500,000	45.1	109,644	9.9			1,109,644
	1990-91	602,529	37.5	401,686	25.0			1,606,744
	1991-92	650,000	39.7	337,177	20.6			1,637,177
	1992-93	719,898	37.5	479,932	25.0			1,919,728
	1993-94	700,000	37.5	241,220	25.0			1,641,220
	1994-95	750,000	41.0	324,358	18.0			1,824,358
Gator	1976-77	250,662	37.5	167,108	25.0			688,433
	1977-78	355,253	37.5	236,835	25.0			947,342
	1978-79	387,750	37.5	258,499	25.0			1,033,999
	1979-80	406,337	37.5	270,892	25.0			1,083,596
	1980-81	450,677	37.5	300,451	25.0			1,201,805
	1981-82	481,249	37.5	320,832	25.0			1,283,330
	1982-83	597,305	37.5	398,203	25.0			1,592,813
	1983-84	679,449	37.5	452,865	25.0			1,811,863
	1984-85	831,020	37.5	554,013	25.0			2,216,053
	1985-86	775,934	37.5	517,290	25.0			2,069,158

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028864

Financial Payoff History
Page No. 4

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Gator (Continued)	1986-87	899,911	37.5	599,941	25.0			2,399,764
	1987-88	990,156	37.5	660,103	25.0			2,640,415
	1988-89	1,000,000	37.9	639,772	24.2			2,639,772
	1989-90	1,220,000	37.5	813,000	25.0			3,252,002
	1990-91	1,100,000	40.4	521,527	19.2			2,721,527
	1991-92	870,137	37.5	580,092	25.0			2,320,366
	1992-93	1,600,000	50.0	(23,979)	0.0			3,176,021
	1993-94	1,500,000	45.0	331,566	10.0			3,331,566
	1994-95	1,500,000	38.5	899,567	23.0			3,899,567
	1995-96	1,508,510	37.5	1,005,672	25.0			4,022,692
	1996-97	1,300,000	40.0	644,872	20.0			3,244,872
	1997-98	1,300,000	40.0	667,087	20.0			3,267,087
	1998-99	1,665,324	37.7	1,089,733	24.6			4,420,381
	1999-00	1,400,000	38.3	857,883	23.4			3,657,883
Holiday	1978-79	218,644	37.5	145,764	25.0			583,052
	1979-80	271,214	37.5	180,810	25.0			723,238
	1980-81	261,035	37.5	174,023	25.0			696,093
	1981-82	286,179	37.5	190,786	25.0			763,144
	1982-83	392,835	37.5	261,890	25.0			1,047,560
	1983-84	412,093	37.5	274,729	25.0			1,098,917
	1984-85	502,635	37.5	335,090	25.0			1,340,360
	1985-86	546,957	37.5	346,638	25.0			1,440,552
	1986-87	661,423	43.0	207,616	25.0			1,530,462
	1987-88	766,868	38.1	477,913	23.8			2,011,649
	1988-89	907,613	38.0	571,743	24.0			2,386,969
	1989-90	1,009,038	38.7	591,248	22.7			2,609,324
	1990-91	1,200,728	39.2	663,594	21.6			3,065,050
	1991-92	1,303,940	39.4	701,678	21.2			3,309,558
	1992-93	1,500,000	43.7	429,714	12.6			3,429,714
	1993-94	1,700,000	42.2	626,090	15.6			4,026,090
	1994-95	1,700,000	40.5	799,202	19.0			4,199,202
	1995-96	1,360,528	37.5	905,924	25.0			3,626,980
	1996-97	1,435,311	37.5	949,411	25.0			3,820,033
	1997-98	1,409,533	37.5	938,720	25.0			3,757,786
	1998-99	1,800,968	40.0	900,485	20.0			4,502,421
	1999-00	1,960,109	40.0	980,055	20.0			4,900,273
Humanitarian	J--1997-98	750,000	37.5	497,066	25.0			1,997,066
	1998-99	750,000	39.3	406,134	21.4			1,906,134
	1999-00	750,000	40.0	400,666	20.0			1,900,666
Independence	1976-77	34,362	37.5	22,907	25.0			91,631
	1977-78	54,596	37.5	36,397	25.0			145,589
	1978-79	60,876	37.5	40,584	25.0			162,336
	C--1979-80	100,000	56.5	34,839	20.0			176,205
		41,365	23.5					
	1980-81	200,000	47.5	21,224	5.0			421,224

Financial Payoff History
Page No. 5

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Independence (Continued)	1981-82	218,133	37.5	145,421	25.0			581,687
	1982-83	322,953	37.5	215,303	25.0			861,209
	1983-84	400,000	42.0	148,843	16.0			948,843
	1984-85	400,000	43.9	110,419	12.1			910,419
	1985-86	400,000	40.0	194,442	19.6			994,422
	1986-87	500,000	43.7	143,853	12.6			1,143,853
	1987-88	500,000	49.1	77,290	1.7			1,017,290
	1988-89	500,000	46.8	66,691	6.4			1,066,691
	1989-90	500,000	39.8	252,887	20.2			1,252,887
	1990-91	600,000	41.8	234,033	16.4			1,434,033
	1991-92	650,000	49.2	20,555	1.6			1,320,555
	1992-93	650,000	49.5	13,895	1.0			1,313,895
	1993-94	700,000	49.5	74,734	10.0			1,474,734
	1994-95	750,000	43.6	219,342	12.8			1,719,378
	1995-96	750,000	39.2	413,093	21.6			1,913,093
	1996-97	828,799	37.5	552,532	25.0			2,210,130
	1997-98	913,793	37.5	609,195	25.0			2,436,781
	1998-99	1,056,188	37.5	704,125	25.0			2,816,501
	1999-00	1,119,948	37.5	746,632	25.0			2,986,528
Las Vegas	1981-82	100,000	39.3	54,184	21.4			254,184
	1982-83	142,605	37.5	95,069	25.0			380,279
	1983-84	111,539	37.5	74,359	25.0			297,437
	1984-85	110,283	37.5	73,522	25.0			294,088
	1985-86	148,709	37.5	99,139	25.0			396,557
	1986-87	166,789	37.5	111,193	25.0			444,771
	1987-88	132,549	37.5	88,366	25.0			353,464
	1988-89	165,095	37.5	110,064	25.0			440,254
	1989-90	278,671	37.5	185,780	25.0			743,121
	1990-91	221,904	37.5	147,935	25.0			591,743
	1991-92	260,719	37.5	173,812	25.0			695,250
	D--1992-93	233,507	37.5	155,672	25.0			622,686
	1993-94	250,437	37.5	166,939	25.0			667,813
	1994-95	150,000	28.5	225,802	43.0			525,801
	E--1995-96	150,000	39.2	83,000	21.6			383,000
	E--1996-97	150,000	39.2	83,000	21.6			383,000
	K--1997-98	800,000	37.5	530,535	25.0			2,130,535
	1998-99	800,000	44.1	215,323	11.8			1,815,323
	1999-00	827,699	37.5	551,800	25.0			2,207,198
Liberty	1976-77	286,174	37.5	190,783	25.0			763,130
	1977-78	315,788	37.5	210,525	25.0			842,100
	1978-79	342,685	37.5	228,456	25.0			913,826
	1979-80	351,096	37.5	234,063	25.0			936,256
	1980-81	390,187	37.5	260,125	25.0			1,040,499
	1981-82	409,867	37.5	273,244	25.0			1,092,978
	1982-83	468,486	37.5	312,324	25.0			1,249,296
	1983-84	574,312	37.5	382,874	25.0			1,531,498
	1984-85	661,777	37.5	441,185	25.0			1,764,739
	1985-86	659,912	37.5	439,941	25.0			1,759,765

Financial Payoff History
Page No. 6

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Liberty (Continued)	1986-87	720,362	37.5	480,241	25.0			1,920,966
	1987-88	776,704	37.5	417,803	25.0			2,071,211
	1988-89	728,645	37.5	485,763	25.0			1,943,053
	1989-90	912,449	37.5	608,299	25.0			2,433,195
	F--1990-91	750,000	49.1	178,542	11.0			1,528,542
		600,000	39.3					
	1991-92	843,316	37.5	562,210	25.0			2,248,842
	1992-93	684,177	37.5	456,119	25.0			1,824,473
	1993-94	700,000	46.9	92,588	6.2			1,492,588
	1994-95	776,648	37.5	517,765	25.0			2,071,061
	1995-96	791,000	37.5	527,000	25.0			2,109,000
	1996-97	881,080	37.5	590,594	25.0			2,352,754
	1997-98	1,098,958	37.5	735,078	25.0			2,932,994
	1998-99	1,203,094.50	37.5	805,336	25.0			3,211,525
	1999-00	1,249,125	37.5	834,928	25.0			3,333,178
Mobile Alabama	1999-00	807,577	37.5	538,383	25.0			2,153,537
Motor City	K--1997-98	757,235	37.5	504,824	25.0			2,019,294
	1998-99	750,000	40.4	357,783	19.2			1,857,783
	1999-00	750,000	42.98	245,063	14.04			1,745,063
Music City Bowl	1998-99	752,594.50	36.6	551,006	26.8			2,056,195
	1999-00	1,015,495	37.5	673,297	25.0			2,704,286
Orange	1976-77	1,008,127	37.5	672,085	25.0			2,688,388
	1977-78	996,656	37.5	644,437	25.0			2,657,749
	1978-79	1,128,077	37.5	752,051	25.0			3,008,205
	1979-80	1,295,398	37.5	796,932	25.0			3,387,729
	1980-81	1,523,887	39.0	862,591	25.0			3,910,365
	1981-82	1,538,424	37.5	1,025,616	25.0			4,102,464
	1982-83	1,658,337	37.5	1,105,557	25.0			4,422,231
	1983-84	1,839,540	37.5	1,226,361	25.0			4,905,441
	1984-85	2,016,406	37.5	1,344,271	25.0			5,344,271
	1985-86	2,225,387	37.5	1,483,591	25.0			5,934,365
	1986-87	2,315,171	37.9	1,473,842	24.1			6,104,185
	1987-88	2,591,654	37.5	1,727,769	25.0			6,911,078
	1988-89	2,735,616	37.5	1,823,744	25.0			7,294,976
	1989-90	4,170,730	38.8	2,424,399	22.5			10,765,859
	1990-91	4,182,696	37.5	2,791,973	25.0			11,157,365
	1991-92	4,168,001	37.5	2,778,667	25.0			11,114,669
	1992-93	4,207,988	37.5	2,805,324	25.0			11,221,300
	1993-94	4,281,924	37.5	2,854,616	25.0			11,418,464
	1994-95	4,641,033	37.5	3,094,022	25.0			12,376,088
	B--1995-96	6,500,000	40.3	3,133,555	19.4			16,133,515
	B--1996-97	12,290,284	41.0	2,718,991	18.0			15,009,275
	B--1997-98	11,500,000	39.7	6,002,923	20.7			29,002,923
	N--1998-99	1,935,000	32.0	2,178,178	36.0			6,048,178
	1999-00	2,000,000	30.75	2,506,471	38.5			6,506,471

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028867

Financial History Payoff
Page No. 7

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Outback (Continued)	G--1986-87	500,000	47.6	51,343	4.8			1,051,343
	H--1987-88	700,000	43.8	99,346	6.2			1,599,346
		800,000	50.0					
	1988-89	850,000	42.3	307,698	15.4			2,007,698
	1989-90	936,284	37.5	624,189	25.0			2,496,757
	1990-91	924,098	37.5	616,065	25.0			2,464,261
	1991-92	1,046,486	37.5	697,656	25.0			2,790,628
	1992-93	811,618	37.5	541,079	25.0			2,164,315
	1993-94	1,000,000	43.6	294,907	12.8			2,294,907
	1994-95	1,000,000	38.5	613,878	23.0			2,613,878
	I--1995-96	1,600,000	39.7	825,493	20.6			4,025,493
	1996-97	1,500,000	40.0	741,215	20.0			3,741,215
	1997-98	1,668,654	37.5	1,112,436	25.0			4,449,744
	1998-99	2,024,000	37.5	1,345,244	25.0			5,393,244
	1999-00	1,911,462	37.5	1,274,308	25.0			5,097,232
Peach	1976-77	223,873	37.5	149,249	25.0			596,994
	1977-78	172,976	37.5	115,318	25.0			461,270
	1978-79	172,781	37.5	115,186	25.0			406,748
	1979-80	332,258	37.5	221,505	25.0			886,022
	1980-81	331,695	37.5	221,129	25.0			884,519
	1981-82	376,620	37.5	251,079	25.0			1,004,319
	1982-83	443,003	37.5	295,334	25.0			1,181,340
	1983-84	418,888	37.5	379,258	25.0			1,117,034
	1984-85	473,840	37.5	315,892	25.0			1,263,572
	1985-86	486,487	37.5	324,324	25.0			1,297,324
	1986-87	600,000	43.0	142,097	14.0			1,342,097
	1987-88	800,000	40.8	358,433	18.3			1,958,433
	1988-89	800,000	40.1	395,071	19.8			1,995,071
	1989-90	800,000	38.8	464,421	22.5			2,064,421
	1990-91	800,000	40.5	377,937	19.0			1,977,937
	1991-92	882,500	38.3	501,889	21.8	35,000	1.6	2,301,889
	1992-93	1,125,000	37.6	741,750	24.8			2,991,750
	1993-94	1,130,000	37.5	751,613	25.0			3,011,613
	1994-95	1,131,000	37.6	746,768	24.8			3,008,768
	1995-96	1,300,000	38.0	828,611	24.0			3,428,611
	1996-97	1,172,000	37.5	779,154	25.0			3,123,154
	1997-98	1,500,000	38.8	862,914	22.4			3,862,914
	1998-99	1,600,000	38.7	936,534	22.6			4,136,534
	1999-00	1,750,000	37.6	1,157,924	24.8			4,657,924
Rose	1976-77	1,564,333	40.2	764,280	19.6			3,892,946
	1977-78	2,065,317	40.5	971,971	19.0			5,102,605
	1978-79	2,116,833	40.7	2,116,833	18.6			5,202,452
	1979-80	2,169,592	40.6	1,006,707	18.0			5,345,891
	1980-81	2,677,921	40.7	1,227,960	18.6			6,583,802
	1981-82	2,866,565	40.7	1,314,710	18.6			7,047,840
	1982-83	3,307,964	40.8	1,487,023	18.4			8,102,951

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028868

Financial History Payoff
Page No. 8

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Rose (Continued)	1983-84	5,608,880	39.8	2,868,049	20.4			14,085,809
	1984-85	5,813,296	39.8	2,989,127	20.4			14,615,719
	1985-86	5,952,578	40.5	2,800,552	19.0			14,705,707
	1986-87	6,017,133	40.0	2,990,201	20.0			15,024,467
	1987-88	6,132,827	40.0	3,069,404	20.0			15,335,058
	1988-89	6,355,654	40.1	3,145,272	19.8			15,856,580
	1989-90	6,604,359	40.6	3,075,013	18.9			16,283,730
	1990-91	6,562,987	40.0	3,264,594	20.0			16,390,568
	1991-92	6,619,576	40.0	3,282,350	20.0			16,521,502
	1992-93	6,532,165	39.9	3,290,464	20.2			16,354,794
	1993-94	6,649,881	40.0	3,337,115	20.0			16,636,877
	1994-95	6,739,432	39.9	3,432,514	20.2			16,911,378
	1995-96	8,162,075	41.5	3,320,844	17.0			19,644,994
	1996-97	9,473,622	41.8	3,687,864	16.4			22,635,108
	1997-98	9,265,954	41.2	3,957,276	17.6			22,489,184
	N--1998-99	12,615,079	43.0	4,158,777	14.0			29,388,935
	1999-00	12,683,786	42.59	4,414,328	14.82			29,781,900
Sugar	1976-77	900,801	39.6	474,280	20.8			2,275,882
	1977-78	933,582	38.7	546,829	22.6			2,413,933
	1978-79	975,109	39.2	539,430	21.7			2,489,648
	1979-80	1,005,583	39.0	567,251	22.0			2,578,417
	1980-81	1,015,097	37.5	676,731	25.0			2,706,925
	1981-82	1,321,000	40.6	609,191	18.8			3,251,191
	1982-83	1,800,000	39.6	946,712	20.8			4,546,712
	1983-84	1,800,000	39.4	974,502	21.2			4,574,502
	1984-85	2,100,000	39.6	1,097,874	20.7			5,297,874
	1985-86	2,279,780	37.5	1,519,853	25.0			6,079,413
	1986-87	2,550,000	40.0	1,347,611	20.0			6,447,611
	1987-88	2,825,000	37.5	1,542,228	25.0			7,192,228
	1988-89	3,060,000	40.0	1,361,320	20.0			7,481,320
	1989-90	3,340,000	39.1	1,804,940	21.8			8,284,940
	1990-91	3,550,000	38.6	2,085,665	22.8			9,185,665
	1991-92	3,700,000	38.0	2,324,499	24.0			9,724,499
	1992-93	4,150,000	39.2	2,273,579	21.6			10,573,579
	1993-94	4,160,000	37.5	2,765,994	25.0			11,085,994
	1994-95	4,450,000	38.7	2,610,809	22.6			11,510,809
	B--1995-96	7,825,000	44.6	1,899,262	10.8			17,549,262
	B--1996-97	8,425,000	46.0	1,471,781	8.0			18,321,781
	B--1997-98	7,825,000	43.6	2,289,068	12.8			17,939,068
	N--1998-99	2,000,000	23.25	4,595,196	53.5			8,595,196
	1999-00	2,000,000	17.6	7,341,046	64.8			11,341,046
Sun	1976-77	160,256	37.5	106,857	25.0			427,349
	1977-78	175,000	39.0	98,521	21.9			448,521
	1978-79	200,000	38.1	124,516	23.8			524,516
	1979-80	219,804	37.5	146,536	23.8			586,145
	1980-81	241,108	37.5	160,731	25.0			642,947
	1981-82	325,000	38.9	186,416	22.2			836,416
	1982-83	400,000	48.4	26,234	2.0			826,234

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028869

Financial History Payoff
Page No. 9

<u>GAME</u>	<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PER TEAM</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>BOWL ASSN.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>ESCROW</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>GROSS</u>
Sun (Continued)	1983-84	401,000	37.9	256,208	24.2			1,058,208
	1984-85	500,000	42.6	172,392	14.7			1,172,392
	1985-86	550,261	37.5	366,841	25.0			1,467,363
	1986-87	850,000	48.0	57,055	4.0			1,757,056
	1987-88	750,000	42.6	259,215	14.7			1,759,215
	1988-89	1,000,000	46.0	152,737	8.0			2,152,737
	1989-90	881,425	37.5	587,617	25.0			2,350,467
	1990-91	1,000,000	40.0	483,292	20.0			2,483,292
	1991-92	840,000	35.0	403,091	16.7	320,000	13.3	2,403,091
	1992-93	896,000	35.2	344,395	13.5	408,000	16.1	2,544,395
	1993-94	1,100,000	40.6	509,418	18.8			2,709,418
	1994-95	1,100,000	39.6	577,388	20.8			2,777,388
	1995-96	900,000	40.0	460,368	20.0			2,260,368
	1996-97	1,000,000	41.0	448,964	18.0			2,448,964
	1997-98	1,000,000	38.2	615,278	23.6			2,615,278
	1998-99	1,000,000	37.5	665,327	25.0			2,665,327
	1999-00	1,000,000	37.5	666,484	25.0			2,666,484

NOTES

A--Tangerine Bowl until 1983-84

B--On December 1, 1995, the Fiesta Bowl, Sugar Bowl and Orange Bowl entered into a contract with the "Alliance," including Atlantic Coast Conference, Big East Football Conference, Big Eight Conference, Big Ten Conference, Pacific-10 Conference, Southeastern Conference, Southwest Conference and the University of Notre Dame. The amounts reported were sent to an escrow account established by the Alliance, and subsequently distributed equitably to its members.

C--ECAC participant received higher percentage than Southland participant.

D--Las Vegas Bowl replaced California Raisin Bowl.

E--Estimated based on previous year.

F--Military academy participant received higher percentage than Big Ten participant.

G--Moved from Birmingham to Tampa.

H--SEC participant received higher percentage than Big Ten participant.

I--Name change from Hall of Fame to Outback.

J--Name change from Copper to Insight.Com.

K--New Bowl game. (Las Vegas Bowl had a change in conference alignment.)

L--Includes Oahu Bowl and Aloha Bowl with a four-team distribution

M--Name change from Carquest to Micron/PC.

N--Per team payout is each Bowl's contribution to the Bowl Championship Series. See attached Bowl Championship Distribution.

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 11, 2000 KEM:ndw

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028870

SUPPLEMENT NO. 33
Football Certification Subc 4/00

Three Year Ticket Analysis of 1998-2000
NCAA Postseason Football Bowl Games

Bowl	1999-00 Stadium Capacity	1999-00 Gross Attendance	Three Year Average Institution Ticket Commitment	Three Year Average Tickets Sold by Sponsoring Agency	Percent of Capacity Sold by Spon. Agency	Percent of Stadium Capacity Unsold	1999-00 Cost Absorbed by Participating Teams
Alamo	65,000	65,195	26,618	32,071	64%	0%	na
Aloha/Oahu	50,000	50,000	16,166	20,076	40%	0%	308,305
Micron/PC	72,000	34,147	24,167	11,356	13%	53%	706,278
Citrus	65,525	61,918	29,376	34,431	54%	6%	387,000
Insight.Com	54,884	33,669	20,000	19,773	25%	39%	579,594
Cotton	68,172	66,822	34,117	29,863	38%	2%	357,180
Fiesta	73,185	72,357	31,262	42,177	58%	1%	788,025
Gator	74,768	52,977	31,447	24,659	31%	29%	562,108
Holiday	71,579	63,880	23,267	39,836	57%	11%	226,732
Humanitarian	30,000	27,655	10,667	12,098	59%	8%	113,250
Independence	50,459	49,873	23,485	25,580	47%	1%	66,080

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028871

Ticket Analysis
 1998-99 Postseason Football Bowl Games
 Page No. 2

Bowl	1999-00 Stadium Capacity	1999-00 Gross Attendance	Three Year Average Institution Ticket Commitment	Three Year Average Tickets Sold by Sponsoring Agency	Percent of Capacity Sold by Spon Agency	Percent of Stadium Capacity Unsold	1999-00 Cost Absorbed by Participating Teams
Las Vegas	35,766	28,318	20,066	3,885	12%	21%	468,930
Liberty	63,055	56,570	30,195	24,068	42%	10%	484,715
Music City	64,970	56,269	29,614	19,067	36%	13%	178,305
Motor City	79,083	52,626	31,439	20,480	29%	33%	413,536
Mobile	40,048	39,080	14,275	24,805	62%	2%	450,115
Orange	75,540	70,314	30,832	38,880	53%	7%	384,490
Outback	65,933	51,310	28,522	27,563	40%	22%	213,245
Peach	71,151	68,796	36,078	32,001	41%	3%	88,380
Rose	91,965	88,643	58,658	32,566	34%	4%	325,820
Sun	51,171	40,179	16,693	22,790	47%	21%	241,755
Sugar	75,000	72,734	37,442	34,244	54%	3%	248,035

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
 April 11, 2000
 KENT:ndw

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028872

SUPPLEMENT NO. 33
Football Certification Subc 4/00

Ticket Analysis by Bowl 1999-00

Bowl Game	Number of Tickets Contractually Guaranteed	Number of Tickets Committed by Participating Teams	Participating Teams Financial Commitment	Sponsoring Agency Tickets Before Team Selections	Sponsoring Agency Tickets after Team Selections	Total Sold by Sponsoring Agency	Sponsoring Agency Financial Commitment	Complies with Ticket Policies
Aloha/Oahu	30,000	30,000	\$1,350,000	8,240	11,760	20,000	\$680,000	no (1)
Insight com	20,000	20,000	\$900,000		13,669	13,669	\$410,070	no (1)
Las Vegas	25,000	23,984	\$1,079,280		4,334	4,334	\$195,030	no (1)
Micron PC	25,000	25,000	\$1,000,000	6,500	2,647	9,147	\$365,880	no (1)
Motor City	29,000	29,318	\$938,175		23,308	23,308	\$396,236	no (1)
Gator	25,000	29,928	\$1,301,868	17,106	5,943	23,049	\$714,519	no (2)
Music City	24,000	32,614	\$1,304,560	9,661	13,994	23,655	\$875,235	no (2)
Liberty	30,000	30,000	\$1,050,000	8,374	18,196	26,570	\$903,380	no (3)
Alamo	21,664	23,605	\$991,410	22,324	19,266	41,590	\$1,351,675	yes
Citrus	24,000	26,257	\$1,575,420	17,650	18,011	35,661	\$1,961,355	yes
Colton	24,000	41,180	\$2,470,800	22,913	2,729	25,642	\$1,282,100	yes
Fiesta	30,000	29,844	\$2,730,000	42,513	0	42,513	\$3,868,683	yes
Holiday	23,000	23,000	\$920,000	36,589	4,291	40,880	\$1,512,560	yes
Humanitarian	10,000	10,000	\$300,000	2,505	15,150	17,655	\$423,720	yes
Independence	24,000	26,026	\$741,741	22,625	1,222	23,847	\$751,181	yes
Mobile	14,000	14,275	\$642,375	2,400	22,405	24,805	\$843,370	yes
Orange	30,000	30,000	\$2,250,000	28,140	12,174	40,314	\$3,305,748	yes
Outback	25,098	25,098	\$1,129,410	18,625	7,587	26,212	\$1,179,540	yes
Peach	39,000	39,429	\$1,419,444	21,794	7,573	29,367	\$1,306,832	yes
Rose	57,276	57,276	\$6,300,360	31,367	10,010	31,367	\$3,450,370	yes
Sugar	30,000	32,546	\$3,189,508	30,178	10,387	40,188	\$3,938,424	yes
Sun	16,000	16,004	\$576,144	13,788	10,387	24,175	\$701,075	yes

(1) Local ticket sales does not meet established policies.

(2) Meeting demands of the Institution

(3) Bowl does not meet the three year average of 25,000 tickets sold, participating teams guaranteeing 48% of stadium capacity

The National Collegiate Athletic Association
April 12, 2000
KEM:ndw

CONFIDENTIAL

NCAA 028873

SUPPLEMENT NO. 4**DI FB Issues 6/00****Five-Year Eligibility Legislation**

During the committee's February 7-8 meeting, Grant Teaff reported that the American Football Coaches association favored a fifth year of eligibility for student-athletes. It was noted by the group that the following might be considered: (1) a reduction in the number of initial grant-in-aids, (2) a hardship rule if a student-athlete misses more than 50% of the games and (3) any fifth year eligibility legislation would most likely be applicable to all sports. However, no additional discussion was held by the committee regarding this topic. Therefore, this supplement has been prepared so the committee might review the possibility of proposing legislation to grant a fifth year of eligibility.

At the 1994 NCAA Convention, Proposal No. 17 was submitted that would have permitted Divisions I and II student-athletes to engage in five seasons of intercollegiate competition within the five year/ten semester eligibility period. In addition, legislation related to the hardship waiver and season-of-competition waiver would have been eliminated. Further, the proposal would have limited partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers to participate in not more than four seasons of competition in Division I and five seasons of competition in Division II. It also specified that partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers who had exhausted four seasons of competition in Division I could not be eligible for further seasons of competition in Division II.

However, the proposal was withdrawn by the Division I sponsors and the membership was urged to pass Proposal No. 18, which was a resolution calling for the NCAA Council to study the five-year eligibility issue. It should be noted that Division II voted on Proposal No. 17, and it was defeated by a vote of 34 yeas, 168 nays and three abstentions.

Division I considered proposal No. 18, however, it also was defeated by a vote of 158 yeas, 649 nays and two abstentions. Following is a brief summary of the discussions:

A member of the NCAA Council spoke in opposition and indicated that another study or resolution was not needed and that there were better ways to increase graduation rates and to contain cost. He argued that current legislation currently existed that provided a fifth year of athletics aid while the student was competing and the NCAA postscholarship program provided the opportunity for the fifth year of aid while the student was not competing.

One delegate noted that if a student-athlete adhered to the normal progress rule of at least 12 hours per semester, it would require 4.7 years for the student-athlete to graduate. If five years of competition were allowed, it would eliminate the redshirt rule and the hardship rule. A savings could be attained through recruiting costs and there would be an increase in graduation rates.

A student-athlete who was a member of the NCAA Student-Athlete Advisory Committee spoke in opposition and opined that four years of schooling is not only sufficient, but also reasonable, despite the demands placed on student-athletes.

Attachment A provides more complete background information regarding the proposals and Convention proceedings.

NCAA/6/2/00/DLP:ks

SUPPLEMENT NO. 7
Agenda Item No. 14

Five-Year Eligibility Legislation

At the 1994 NCAA Convention, Proposal No. 17 was submitted that would have permitted Divisions I and II student-athletes to engage in five seasons of intercollegiate competition within the five year/ten semester eligibility period. In addition, legislation related to the hardship waiver and season-of-competition waiver would have been eliminated. Further, the proposal would have limited partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers to participate in not more than four seasons of competition in Division I and five seasons of competition in Division II. It also specified that partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers who had exhausted four seasons of competition in Division I could not be eligible for further seasons of competition in Division II.

However, the proposal was withdrawn by the Division I sponsors and the membership was urged to pass Proposal No. 18, which was a resolution calling for the NCAA Council to study the five-year eligibility issue. It should be noted that Division II voted on Proposal No. 17, and it was defeated by a vote of 34 yeas, 168 nays and three abstentions.

Division I considered proposal No. 18; however, it also was defeated by a vote of 158 yeas, 649 nays and two abstentions. Following is a brief summary of the discussions:

A member of the NCAA Council spoke in opposition and indicated that another study or resolution was not needed and that there were better ways to increase graduation rates and to contain cost. He argued that current legislation currently existed that provided a fifth year of athletics aid while the student was competing and the NCAA postscholarship program provided the opportunity for the fifth year of aid while the student was not competing.

One delegate noted that if a student-athlete adhered to the normal progress rule of at least 12 hours per semester, it would require 4.7 years for the student-athlete to graduate. If five years of competition were allowed, it would eliminate the redshirt rule and the hardship rule. A savings could be attained through recruiting costs and there would be an increase in graduation rates.

A student-athlete who was a member of the NCAA Student-Athlete Advisory Committee spoke in opposition and opined that four years of schooling is not only sufficient, but also reasonable, despite the demands placed on student-athletes.

Attachment A provides more complete background information regarding the proposals and Convention proceedings.

DLP:dkc

Division I-A Business Session

Monday Morning, January 10, 1994

The Division I-A business session was called to order at 8 a.m. by Daniel G. Gibbens, faculty athletics representative at the University of Oklahoma

OPENING REMARKS

Mr. Gibbens: Good morning. I think probably the best way to get started is to get started. So let's do that.

(Note: Division I-A Council members were introduced and voting procedures were explained.)

Appendix A of your Official Notice lists the 23 proposals that we will take action on today and tomorrow. Today we will take action on proposals with the asterisks. They are designated for Presidential Agenda Day items, plus any others that time permits.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

Mr. Gibbens: We will now begin with Proposal No. 17

Eligibility—Seasons of Competition

Frank R. Windegger (Texas Christian University). On behalf of the sponsors, I withdraw that proposal and ask this group to support Proposal No. 18, which I think is outstanding legislation. No. 17 needs to be looked at by the Council for any glitches. We can possibly vote on this next January. So I ask your support of No. 18.

Scouting of Opponents—Football and Basketball

James E. Delany (Big Ten Conference): I move the adoption of Proposal 24-A on behalf of the Council and Presidents Commission.

(The motion was seconded.)

Adoption of Proposal 24-A will eliminate off-campus in-person scouting of opponents in Division I football. This recommendation from the Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics was initially submitted by the National Association of Basketball Coaches and was supported by representatives of the women's basketball coaches and football coaches who appeared before the special committee.

Coaches can scout opponents in those sports. In the opinion of the special committee, the Council and the Presidents Commission, significant savings are anticipated by eliminating scouting. To assure actual savings, the legislation also precludes an institution from employing or paying the expenses of someone else, including professional scouting services, to scout the opponent. It is worth noting that this pro-

74

1994 Convention Proceedings

posal precludes all in-person, off-campus scouting of opponents in Division I football and does not permit an individual to scout at his or her own expense. I urge your adoption of this proposal.

(Part A of Proposal No. 24 (page A-42) was adopted by Division I-A, 112-2, one abstention.)

Athletically Related Income

William M. Sangster (Georgia Institute of Technology): On behalf of the Council and the Presidents Commission, I move Proposal No. 28.

(The motion was seconded.)

The Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics developed this proposal to affirm the requirements of Bylaw 11.3.1, which states that institutions shall remain in control of who is to be an employee and the amount of salary that the employee is to receive within the restrictions specified by NCAA legislation.

The Council and Presidents Commission support this legislation to further establish control of athletics-related income by requiring all athletics department staff members, other than secretarial and clerical personnel, to receive prior written approval from the institution's chief executive officer for all athletically related income and benefits from sources outside the institution. The other substantive change in the legislation requires that staff members' requests for approval must be in writing and must include the amount and source of the income. The proposal retains the opportunity for chief executives to grant prior written approval for a staff member to receive income that does not exceed \$500 for speaking engagements or for participation in camps or clinics. Staff members must provide a detailed accounting of all such amounts over \$500 to the chief executive officer. The Council and the Commission believe that the information required by this legislation will provide the institution's chief executive officer with what he or she needs to fully understand and control compensation paid to athletics department staff members. I urge your support of this proposal.

E. Roger Sayers (University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa): I speak in favor of this proposal. It further strengthens the principle of institutional control. It certainly will help preclude any possible instances of conflict of interest. Many of our institutions already have processes and procedures like these that apply to our academic personnel. I strongly favor the proposal and urge your support.

(Proposal No. 28 (page A-47) was adopted by Division I-A, 103-11.)

Recruiting Coordinator—Divisions I-A and I-AA Football

Max W. Williams (University of Mississippi): I move for the adoption of Proposal No. 30 on behalf of the Presidents Commission and Council.

(The motion was seconded.)

The particular concern identified by the Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics was large staffs of personnel other than on-field coaches in Division I football.

Division I-A Business Session

75

Source: NCAA Council; Bradley University; Eastern Illinois University; Southern Illinois University, Carbondale; Illinois State University; Indiana State University; University of Northern Iowa; Southwest Missouri State University; University of Tulsa; Western Illinois University, and Wichita State University.

Committee Position (Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism): The committee voted to support this resolution but asked the sponsors of this proposal to amend the time table for the committee to prepare legislation to be developed not later than the 1996 Convention rather than a mandatory date of the 1995 Convention. (Note: In this regard, the NCAA Council subsequently voted to sponsor Proposal No. 16-1.)

Action: Mooted by adoption of 16-1.

NO. 16-1 RESOLUTION: NEED-BASED FINANCIAL AID

[Divisions I and II, common vote, roll call]

"Whereas, it is the sense of the membership that some means must be found to reduce the costs of intercollegiate athletics and that this must be accomplished without denying students access to higher education or significantly altering the competitive balance among NCAA member institutions; and

"Whereas, based on the input received by the NCAA Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics, there is interest in considering a financial aid model for athletics wherein student-athletes may receive athletics grants for tuition and fees, and financial assistance awarded solely on the basis of need for room and board, books, supplies and indirect costs, which may not exceed the cost of attendance;

"Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the NCAA Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism be charged with the preparation of legislative proposals for consideration by the membership at the 1995 NCAA Convention

"Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the NCAA Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism be charged with making a report on this issue to the 1995 Convention and to submit appropriate legislation for consideration by the membership not later than the 1996 Convention."

Source: NCAA Council (Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism) and NCAA Presidents Commission

Action: Adopted (439-61, two abstentions).

NO. 17 (NO. 2-54) ELIGIBILITY — SEASONS OF COMPETITION

Intent: To permit student-athletes in Divisions I and II to engage in five seasons of intercollegiate competition within the five-year/10-semester eligibility period; to delete legislation related to the hardship waiver and season-of-competition waiver; to per-

A-28

1994 Convention Proceedings

mit partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers to participate in not more than four seasons of competition in Division I and five seasons of competition in Division II, and to specify that partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers who have exhausted four seasons of competition in Division I shall not be eligible for further seasons of competition in Division II.

A. Bylaws: Amend 14.2, page 124, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A football, Division I-AA football, Division I-A, all other Division I members and Division II, divided vote, roll call]

"14.2 SEASONS OF COMPETITION: FIVE-YEAR/10-SEMESTER RULE

"The student-athlete shall not engage in more than five seasons of intercollegiate competition in any one sport (see 14.02.6 and 14.3.3). An institution shall not permit a student-athlete to represent it in intercollegiate competition unless the individual completes all of his or her seasons of participation in all sports within the time periods specified below:"

[Remainder of 14.2 unchanged.]

B. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.5, pages 127-128, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A football, Division I-AA football, Division I-A, all other Division I members and Division II, divided vote, roll call]

"14.2.5 Hardship Waiver A student-athlete may be granted an additional year of competition by the conference or the Eligibility Committee for reasons of hardship. Hardship is defined as an incapacity resulting from an injury or illness that has occurred under all of the following conditions"

[Remainder of 14.2.5 deleted.]

C. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.6, pages 128-129, by deleting the current dominant voting requirement (*) and substituting a federated voting requirement (I/II/III).

[Dominant provision, all divisions, common vote, roll call]

D. Bylaws: Amend 14.2.6, pages 128-129, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A football, Division I-AA football, Division I-A, all other Division I members and Division II, divided vote, roll call]

"14.2.6 Season-of-Competition Waiver. In conjunction with a request for restoration of eligibility and any conditions imposed thereon per Bylaw 14.14, a student-athlete may be granted an additional season of competition by the Eligibility Committee when he or she participated in a limited amount of competition as a result of a good-faith, erroneous formal declaration of eligibility by the institution's appropriate certifying authority. The competition must have occurred under all of the following conditions"

[Remainder of 14.2.6 deleted.]

E. Bylaws: Amend 14.3.3, page 137, as follows:

[Federated provision, Division I-A football, Division I-AA football, Division I-A, all other Division I members and Division II, divided vote, roll call]

Legislative Proposals

A-29

"Whereas, recent revisions in continuing-eligibility legislation placed student-athletes on a five-year program to complete their degree; and,

"Whereas, the current atmosphere surrounding intercollegiate athletics has emphasized the reduction of financial expenditures; and,

"Whereas, providing financial support to student-athletes who are completing their baccalaureate degree requires institutions to support degree-completion programs resulting in significant expense; and,

"Whereas, permitting student-athletes to participate in five seasons of athletics competition will provide increased opportunities for student-athletes to receive financial support to complete their baccalaureate degree requirements; and,

"Whereas, the concept of five years of eligibility also generally is believed to have great potential for reducing the expense involved in recruiting large numbers of prospective student-athletes;

"Now, Therefore, Be It Resolved, that the NCAA Council be charged with conducting a study of all issues affected by a revision of NCAA Bylaw 14.2 to permit student-athletes to participate in five seasons of intercollegiate competition, and prepare appropriate legislative proposals for consideration by the membership at the 1995 NCAA Convention regarding this topic."

Source: Auburn University, University of Georgia; Louisiana State University, McNeese State University, Nicholls State University; Northeast Louisiana State University; Northwestern State University (Louisiana); and Sam Houston State University.

Action: Defeated (158-649, two abstentions).

•NO. 19 (NO. 2-5) REVISED LEGISLATIVE CALENDAR

Intent: To revise the Association's legislative calendar by establishing new submission and publication dates for legislative proposals.

A. Constitution: Amend 5.3, pages 32-36, as follows:

(Dominant provision, all divisions, common vote, roll call)

"5.3 AMENDMENT PROCESS

"5.3.1 Authorizing Legislation

[5.3.1.1 unchanged.]

"5.3.1.2 Amendment-to-Amendment. A proposed amendment to a provision of the constitution or bylaws may be amended at any annual or special Convention or at a division legislative meeting. From July 1 through September 1 July 15 through September 15, sponsors of proposed legislation may refine and change proposals in any manner that is germane to the original proposal. After September 1 September 15 proposed amendments may be amended only if the amendment to the proposed amendment does not increase

Legislative Proposals _____ A-31

"14.3.3 Seasons of Competition — Partial Qualifier and Non-qualifier. Partial qualifiers and nonqualifiers, recruited or nonrecruited, shall not engage in more than the following number of seasons of competition:

"(a) Division I: ~~Three~~ Four seasons of competition. A student who transfers to a Division I member institution from another collegiate institution shall not engage in more than ~~four~~ five seasons of competition with not more than ~~three~~ four of those seasons in Division I.

"(b) Division II: ~~Four~~ Five seasons of competition, except that such student-athletes who have exhausted ~~three~~ four seasons of competition in Division I shall not be eligible for further seasons of competition in Division II."

Source: Auburn University; University of Cincinnati; Colorado State University; University of Louisville; University of Nebraska, Lincoln; University of Oklahoma; Rice University; University of Tennessee; Knoxville; University of Texas at Austin; Texas Christian University; West Virginia University and University of Wyoming.

Effective Date: August 1, 1994; for those student-athletes first entering a collegiate institution on or after August 1, 1994.

Rationale: With the increased emphasis on graduation rates and the general welfare of student-athletes, a fifth year of eligibility will provide the opportunity for an increasing number of student-athletes to obtain a baccalaureate degree. The 1992-93 NCAA Division I graduation-rates report reveals that student-athletes take an average of 4.7 years to graduate. This proposal will eliminate the current practice of "redshirting" and obtaining medical-hardship and season-of-competition waivers, and reduce recruiting expenses due to a reduction in the number of initial grants offered each year. For those student-athletes who obtain a degree in less than five years, this proposal would provide an opportunity to participate in graduate-level courses that will enhance their post-collegiate employment.

Committee Position (Eligibility Committee): The committee took no position on this proposal.

Action: Parts A, B and E withdrawn in Divisions I, I-A and I-AA Parts A, B and D defeated by Division II (34-168, three abstentions). Parts C and D withdrawn.

NO. 18 RESOLUTION: FIVE SEASONS OF COMPETITION

[All divisions, common vote, roll call]

"Whereas, the NCAA membership has enacted legislation that effectively has linked athletics eligibility with sustained, measurable progress toward a baccalaureate degree; and,

"Whereas, a key component affecting the welfare of student-athletes is their ability to obtain a quality college education and an academic degree; and,

A-30 _____ 1994 Convention Proceedings

used by institutions to meet sports-sponsorship criteria.

It also is important to note that the legislation provides flexibility for the Council to periodically identify future emerging sports for women. I urge you to support Proposal No. 12.

Mr. Lindemann: I would note two things for you folks. Number one, there is an interpretation on this particular proposal that you will find on your blue sheets. Also note that we are voting in this session on sections A, C and H on proposal No. 12.

Ms. Dreyer: On behalf of the Division II Steering Committee, I move the adoption of Proposal No. 12-1.

[The motion was seconded.]

The intent of Proposal No. 12 unamended is to permit an institution to meet the Division II sports sponsorship requirement by sponsoring as few as two existing traditional sports in which the Association conducts championships.

Under such circumstances, it is arguable that any objective of assisting institutions in providing greater participation opportunity for its female student-athletes as well as complying with the applicable gender-equity laws may be advanced. Proposal 12-1 will preclude a Division II institution from using an identified emerging sport to meet minimum sports sponsorship requirements.

Although the Division II Steering Committee is unanimous in its support for creating greater opportunity for participation of female students, it is the committee's opinion that the use of emerging sports to provide greater participation opportunities for female students should—Harold stole this line from me—supplement and not supplant the opportunity to participate in existing sports. I urge you to support Proposal No. 12-1.

[Proposal No. 12-1 (page A-15) was adopted by Division II, 191-12.]

[Parts A, C and H of Proposal No. 12 (page A-10) as amended by No 12-1 were adopted by Division II, 187-6.]

Change of Division Memberships—

Notification and Championships Eligibility

Robert L. Potts (University of North Alabama): On behalf of the 11 members of the Gulf South Conference, I move the adoption of Proposal No. 15

[The motion was seconded.]

As explained earlier, this proposal requires a two-year notification requirement for reclassification from Division II to Division I. It also makes an institution ineligible for championships after the notification has been given in writing.

The basic rationale for this is one of fairness. We do not feel that it is fair for a Division II institution to continue to compete for championships. Once they receive the recruiting advantage, they begin to raise funds to go to Division I. We feel this is a proposal that should be adopted. It is supported by the Presidents Commission.

Dorothy E. Dreyer (Wayne State University, Michigan): On be-

half of the Division II Steering Committee, I speak in support of Proposal 15.

Originally, the steering committee took no position on this proposal because it did not require a date by which a Division II institution would have to declare its intent to move to Division I. Further, the steering committee expressed concern regarding the effect of an immediate effective date upon those Division II institutions that already have notified the national office of their intent to move to Division I effective September 1, 1994.

After these concerns were expressed, the Gulf South Conference, which sponsors the proposal, addressed those concerns.

If a Division II institution intends to petition for reclassification to Division I, it must submit written notice of its intention to be received in the national office not later than June 1 two years before the September 1 when the institution intends to reclassify in Division I. Further, a Division II institution that has forwarded to the national office written notice of its intention to change membership classification to Division I or has petitioned to reclassify to Division I no longer shall be eligible to participate in any subsequent Division II NCAA championships. Because of the concerns expressed by the steering committee, these concerns have been addressed. The committee urges you to support proposal No. 15.

James J. Sims (University of California, Riverside): We are opposed to this proposal mainly because of the issue of fairness. We see it as unfair to exclude a member of the NCAA from any championship possibilities simply because they want to change divisions. We have two examples in the California Collegiate Athletic Association in the last few years that have made this transition, stayed in our conference and played for our championships. It did not make a major impact or become a monster because they went this way. In fact, they have been weakened each time.

We may make this transition ourselves. We think that it would be fair to leave us the possibility of being involved in a championship. I urge your defeat of this proposal.

[Proposal No. 15 (page A-26) was adopted by Division II, 159-25, two abstentions.]

Eligibility—Seasons of Competition

James Fallis (University of Northern Colorado): I move the adoption of Proposal No. 17.

[The motion was seconded.]

I recognize that this is rather radical for some people, but I want to remind you that some 24 years ago they also moved with a proposal to allow individuals to compete for four years. Although this may need some fine tuning—that may sound familiar to you because we have been doing a lot of that lately—I think it is important that we not be driven by the media paranoia that surrounds Division I and we do what's right. I believe this is right.

I understand that there is some desire to study this issue. I would

Division II Business Session _____ 117

116 _____ 1994 Convention Proceedings

have hoped that you would have done that before today. Let me suggest that you talk to your student-athlete advisory committee on your campus and find out how difficult it's become for individuals to get classes to graduate in four years.

In addition, you might like to, as I did, talk to our institutional research director who graduated some 25 years ago and said: "Jim, I would not want to be going to school today with the information that you have to know, the information you have to learn, it's a different ball game than it was when you and I were going to school."

We have a commitment to individuals who matriculate at our institutions. I don't think we should be casting the student-athlete aside. If your institution is like ours, the average grant-in-aid award is about \$2,700 or about one-third of what it costs to attend the University of Northern Colorado. Those who complete their degree work in four years would have to give serious consideration of trying to compete for a fifth year at the cost of nearly \$5,000. We have not seen it in the past. I don't think you will see it in the future.

At our institution, if you eliminate three sports—two women's and one men's sport—where there is a larger percentage of full rides given, the average award is closer to \$2,200. Many of us currently discriminate on our campus against the student-athlete. I don't know whether you realize that or not. The band members and the performing arts students can receive their performance awards for five, even six years providing they stay in good academic standing. We do not move to provide the same for the student-athletes or the CEO is going back to the campuses and setting up the same limits for those same individuals in those other programs.

I found it interesting last night at the honors dinner that Charles Osgood made mention that the Association is in many ways a leader in social concerns. Stop and think about what we are doing—especially Division II members. This is an opportunity for us to make a statement to our society and perhaps even family structure. Let's do what's best for our student-athletes and assist them, help them finish the task, do what we need to do, maybe the message will be clear that we need to finish molding things before we go on and bring new people in.

I think we have an obligation to these young men and women. I think we need to fulfill that obligation. As I said at the onset, I do believe there is some fine tuning to this. Let me also say this. If we don't succeed this year, I do hope that we will try again as we do on the athletics field and succeed next year.

Raymond L. Orbach (University of California, Riverside): I oppose Proposal No. 17. I do so on the basis of the arguments presented by the Presidents Commission. But I would also like to add another argument. Universities across the country are moving toward four years for graduation. In fact, there are some universities proposing three years for a baccalaureate degree when hours are not sufficient for graduating in four years.

The pressure on all of us, especially at state-supported and private institutions will be to get those courses offered so that students can graduate in four years. We are moving toward this limit for financial reasons. This action would move exactly in the wrong direction.

Mr. Lindemann: Thank you. We do have some late-breaking news about Proposal No. 17. It appears that it is going to be withdrawn in Division I. Members in that division are going to be asked to support Proposal No. 18.

Rodney C. Kelchner (Mansfield University of Pennsylvania): I am speaking in opposition to Proposal 17 on behalf of the Commission and the Council for reasons that have already been stated.

We believe that this sends the wrong signal. It puts an increased emphasis on athletics participation rather than on academic participation. We urge the defeat of this proposal.

[Parts A, B and D of Proposal No. 17 (page A-28) were defeated by Division II, 34-168, three abstentions. Parts C and D were withdrawn.]

Expenses—Travel-Squad Size Limitations

Jerry M. Hughes (Central Missouri State University): I move Proposal No. 23.

[The motion was seconded.]

The Council and Presidents Commission have sponsored Proposal No. 23 in order to permit the Divisions I and II membership to consider the proposal, but they have not taken a position in support of or opposition to the legislation.

This proposal was submitted by the Pacific-10 Conference for consideration at the 1993 Convention. It was withdrawn at the request of the Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics to permit that committee to review the travel-squad limitations. It was among the issues it considered in developing cost-reducing legislation.

At the conclusion of its review, the special committee decided to support the legislation. After considering concerns about whether national legislation was necessary on this subject and the actual costs that would be saved, the Council and Commission decided not to specifically support this legislation. Accordingly, it is submitted for the delegates' determination of whether this legislation should be adopted.

Delegates should note the official interpretations of Proposal No. 23. The interpretation that affirms that these limitations apply only to regular-season varsity competition makes Proposal No. 23-4 unnecessary.

Doug Echols (South Atlantic Conference): Proposal No. 23 will impose national legislation on travel-squad size. Such a restriction should be handled at the institutional or conference level. All eight members of the South Atlantic Conference oppose this proposal although we acknowledge that some of our colleagues in Division II have various geographical and financial considerations in relationship

Division II Business Session

119

118 1994 Convention Proceedings

door for anyone who wishes to apply before that time to run in without having to wait five years. I don't believe it is in the best interest of our institutions to rush those decisions or create this kind of barrier, especially when we have all the things necessary to make sure that during the three-year provisional membership they either meet standards to compete in championships or they are ineligible.

[Parts A through E of Proposal No. 14 (page A-17) were adopted, 773-22, four abstentions. Parts F, G and I were adopted by Division I, 290-7, eight abstentions, Division II, 193-15, two abstentions, and Division III, 248-7, five abstentions. Part H was defeated by Division I, 235-86, 13 abstentions, Division II, 65-145, and Division III, 139-117, 10 abstentions. Parts J through N were adopted, 301-1, two abstentions. Part O adopted 100-1, two abstentions. Part P adopted, 117-0. Part Q adopted, 198-2. Part R adopted, 256-7.]

Resolution: Need-Based Financial Aid

Charles S. Harris (Arizona State University): On behalf of the Council, the Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism, and the sponsors, I move the adoption of Proposal No. 16.

[The motion was seconded.]

Mr. Harris: On behalf of the Council, I move adoption of Proposal No. 16-1.

[The motion was seconded.]

In an attempt to reduce the cost of intercollegiate athletics, there are various constituencies within the Association, including the Gender-Equity Task Force and the Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics, that have called for an examination of possible cost-saving measures among Divisions I and II members who provide athletics grants. In this regard, the Presidents Commission and the Council have given the Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism the opportunity to develop a financial aid model. By adopting this resolution, the Committee on Financial Aid and Amateurism will develop a model that will remain based as needed. We will specifically be required to make legislation to be presented in a progress report at the 1995 Convention. It is important to remember that there will be actual discussion of this issue by the membership before an effective conclusion by the committee.

[Proposal No. 16-1 (page A-28) was adopted, 439-61 two abstentions.]

Resolution: Five Seasons of Competition

Milo R. Lude (Auburn University): I move Proposal No. 18.

[The motion was seconded.]

This morning in our Division I-A session, Proposal No. 17 was withdrawn with the understanding that we would bring this before the Convention. If we believe in higher education, then we certainly believe in the search for the truth and the facts with some logic behind it.

We therefore would like very much to have the Convention study

296

1994 Convention Proceedings

the proposal of the five-year rule. We ask all of you to support this. Jerry L. Kingston (Arizona State University): On behalf of the Council, I rise to oppose Proposal No. 18. We do not need another resolution and another study to develop this. There are better ways to increase graduation rates and to contain cost.

The sponsors argue this proposal will raise graduation rates and lower costs. Current legislation provides the opportunity to provide a fifth year of athletics aid while the student is competing. The postscholarship provides the opportunity for the fifth year of aid while the student is not competing.

The student's welfare is not enhanced when time and energy is divided between athletics and academics over a five-year time period. This proposal is not in the Council's view with the reform movement. It is an attempt to place more emphasis on the student-athletes than the students themselves. I urge you to defeat this proposal.

James Fallis (University of Northern Colorado): I would remind the membership that yesterday our executive director said that 1995 is going to be the Convention for the student-athlete's welfare. I can think of no better way to address that concern than to study the information and see whether or not we are meeting the welfare of the student-athlete at this point in time.

Times do change. There is a need for more students today than ever before and the ability to fund universities has shrunk. More students are seeking outside employment. The institution has increased the graduation rate and some say this will reduce the number who graduate.

We may continue to see students have an increasingly difficult time from the beginning of the required courses to stay on track. The Association has worked hard to meet the welfare of the student-athlete. This resolution does nothing more than charge the NCAA Council with bringing back to this body legislation based on more current information.

What is wrong with current information? Let's look at this with an open mind in fairness to our student-athletes at the Convention that is designated to their welfare. Let's make the decision with the best information. We do have greater obligations to matriculate students.

Mr. Lude: With respect to Jerry Kingston, my very good friend, I heard everything that he said. I think if he had listened closely, the Council is asking us to be very, very narrow-minded, have tunnel vision and not be exploratory.

Charles E. Young (University of California, Los Angeles): It is hard for a chancellor to make an argument against studying something, but this proposal has been before this Convention time after time after time. I don't believe that we need another study to determine that this is a bad idea and we should vote it down to get it off the board.

Unidentifiable Delegate: I urge this Convention to support Proposal No. 18. We only have a resolution. We are looking at bringing

General Business Session 297

in line our satisfactory-progress rule, which says you have to take 12 hours per term. If you take 12 hours a term, you can't graduate in four years. It takes 4.7 years for the student-athlete to graduate right now. If we allow them to participate for five years, we will eliminate the redshirt rule and we will eliminate the hardship rule. We can save a tremendous amount of money in future scholarships. We can save recruiting costs, increase graduation rates and a lot of other positive things for the student-athletes. This is only a study. We believe that it is in line with the reform movement.

Robert C. Maxson (University of Nevada, Las Vegas): I am hard pressed at a Convention of educators to explain why we would be opposed to any study that will benefit our student-athletes. On most of our campuses, including mine, full-time students who are not athletes take programs that are impossible to finish in four years.

This proposal ought to be studied. It could benefit student-athletes in a number of ways. If we consider the academic progress and satisfactory-progress rules, then the fifth year becomes very important.

It seems to me that we have not followed the letter and spirit of the rule about student-athletes maintaining good academic standing. By definition, a student should have graduated at the end of five years, with the exception of those youngsters who might change majors.

We also talk a lot about cost containment. Obviously, this will be a less expensive way to run our programs. We know that recruiting is expensive. This even has the potential to reduce recruiting by as much as 20 percent. I also remind you that one of the most vulnerable times for many programs is when we are recruiting student-athletes. I urge you to support the resolution to call for a study of the fifth-year of competition. We owe it to the student-athletes who do expect to graduate and we owe it to those universities who want to graduate their student-athletes.

Calvin Bowers (Bowling Green State University/Student-Athlete Advisory Committee): For those of you in attendance at the honors dinner last night, you will recall that one of the Top Six athletes who was honored was Kenneth Alexander from Florida State.

He not only has had success as a football player, but he intends to graduate in 3½ years with honors. This shows that four years of schooling is not only sufficient but reasonable, despite the demands placed on student-athletes.

If the student-athlete does require a fifth year to complete his studies, it should be done so without a required additional season of eligibility. By doing this, he concentrates solely on academics and prepares for life after collegiate athletics instead of the pressures and physical burden of competition. We oppose a study about five-year eligibility.

[Proposal No. 18 (page A-30) was defeated, 158-649, two abstentions.]

Revised Legislative Calendar

Thomas C. Hansen (Pacific-10 Conference): On behalf of the sponsors, I move Proposal No. 19.

[The motion was seconded.]

This would provide two additional weeks in which to present to the membership amendments to our bylaws and constitution. Because of the pressures of meetings in May and June, we need this extra time. The rather complicated language does that and no more than that. I urge the membership's adoption.

R. Elaine Dreidame (University of Dayton): On behalf of the Presidents Commission and the Council, I would like to speak in support of this proposal. The Commission and Council believe that moving the submission date two weeks later will make the process more effective without compromising the intent of the legislative calendar. We urge your support of this proposal.

[Proposal No. 19 (page A-31) was adopted, 464-335, four abstentions.]

Resolution: Biennial Convention

Bro. Thomas J. Scanlan (Manhattan College): On behalf of the Council and the Presidents Commission, I move adoption of Proposal No. 20.

[The motion was seconded.]

The Special Committee to Review Financial Conditions in Intercollegiate Athletics originally recommended this amendment of the NCAA Constitution to provide that Conventions be held only in alternate years.

After further consideration, the Council determined and the Presidents Commission agreed that legislation to limit the Association to biennial Conventions was premature. Instead, both the Council and the Commission agreed to sponsor this resolution. Its adoption would call for a detailed study of the issue, particularly as to the actual cost savings to the Association and the level of interest on the part of the membership.

The biennial Convention has the possibility to stabilize the Association's legislation by mandating more time between amendments. They would have a more reasonable time period to prepare legislation. It would eliminate the rush to review proposed legislation and create opportunities for members who wish to propose the amendments to discuss them with the sponsors. A vote in favor of this resolution does not change the Association's legislative process. It merely will put in motion a review of the concept of biennial Conventions. On behalf of the Council and the Presidents Commission, I urge your support of this resolution.

Marilyn McNeil (California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo): If the Convention in off years is for discussion only, that will create an administrator's nightmare. Dollars could be better spent on purposes other than a study.

Edward B. Fort (North Carolina A&T State University): The dif-

General Business Session

299

298

1994 Convention Proceedings